

ADP7117 & ADP7217: Learning & Teaching in the Discipline

View Online



1.

QMUL Graduate Attributes, <https://www.qmul.ac.uk/docs/gacep/38598.pdf>, (2009).

2.

Student Experience, Teaching, Learning and Assessment Strategy (SETLA) 2014 - the next five years,
<http://www.arcs.qmul.ac.uk/media/arcs/policyzone/academic/SETLA-Strategy.pdf>, (2014).

3.

TEF Year Two provider submission,
https://academicdevelopment.qmul.ac.uk/wp-content/uploads/2017/08/QMTEFYearTwoSubmission_10007775-1.pdf.

4.

Kalfa, S., Taksa, L.: Cultural capital in business higher education: reconsidering the graduate attributes movement and the focus on employability. *Studies in Higher Education*. 40, 580–595 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2013.842210>.

5.

Clarke, M.: Rethinking graduate employability: the role of capital, individual attributes and context. *Studies in Higher Education*. 1–15 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2017.1294152>.

6.

Quinlan, K.M.: Developing student character through disciplinary curricula: an analysis of UK QAA subject benchmark statements. *Studies in Higher Education*. 41, 1041–1054 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2014.966069>.

7.

Artes, Jane: *Employability: A review of the literature 2012-2016*. (2017).

8.

Gourlay, L., Stevenson, J.: Teaching excellence in higher education: critical perspectives. *Teaching in Higher Education*. 22, 391–395 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13562517.2017.1304632>.

9.

Jackson, D.: Business Undergraduates' Perceptions of Their Capabilities in Employability Skills. *Industry and Higher Education*. 26, 345–356 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.5367/ihe.2012.0117>.

10.

Hill, J., Walkington, H., France, D.: Graduate attributes: implications for higher education practice and policy. *Journal of Geography in Higher Education*. 40, 155–163 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1080/03098265.2016.1154932>.

11.

de la Harpe, B., David, C.: Major influences on the teaching and assessment of graduate attributes. *Higher Education Research & Development*. 31, 493–510 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360.2011.629361>.

12.

Mearman, A., Guizzo, D., Berger, S.: Is UK economics teaching changing? Evaluating the new subject benchmark statement. *Review of Social Economy*. 76, 377–396 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1080/00346764.2018.1463447>.

13.

Shahid Yusuf and Kaoru Nabeshima: How Universities Promote Economic Growth. World Bank Publications (2006).

14.

Bowl, M., Hughes, J.: Fair access and fee setting in English universities: what do institutional statements suggest about university strategies in a stratified quasi-market? *Studies in Higher Education*. 41, 269–287 (2016).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2014.927846>.

15.

Marginson, S.: Public/private in higher education: a synthesis of economic and political approaches. *Studies in Higher Education*. 43, 322–337 (2018).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2016.1168797>.

16.

Troschitz, R., EBSCOhost: Higher education and the student: from welfare state to neoliberalism. Routledge, Abingdon, Oxon (2017).

17.

Patrick Alan Danaher and Kalwant Bhopal: Identity and Pedagogy in Higher Education : International Comparisons. Bloomsbury Publishing PLC (2013).

18.

Becher, T.: Academic tribes and territories: intellectual enquiry and the cultures of disciplines. Society for Research into Higher Education, Buckingham (1989).

19.

Kreber, C.: The university and its disciplines: teaching and learning within and beyond disciplinary boundaries. Routledge, New York (2008).

20.

Land, R., Meyer, J.H.F., Flanagan, M.T. eds: Threshold concepts in practice. Sense Publishers, Rotterdam, The Netherlands (2016).

21.

Gurung, R.A.R., Chick, N.L., Haynie, A.: Exploring signature pedagogies: approaches to teaching disciplinary habits of mind. Stylus, Sterling, Va (2009).

22.

Shulman, L.S.: Signature pedagogies in the professions. *Daedalus*. 134, 52–59 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1162/0011526054622015>.

23.

What are threshold concepts and how can they inform medical education? - PubMed - NCBI, <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26609736>.

24.

Neve, H., Wearn, A., Collett, T.: What are threshold concepts and how can they inform medical education? *Medical Teacher*. 38, 850–853 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2015.1112889>.

25.

Bhat, C., Burm, S., Mohan, T., Chahine, S., Goldszmidt, M.: What trainees grapple with: a study of threshold concepts on the medicine ward. *Medical Education*. 52, 620–631 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1111/medu.13526>.

26.

Braine, M.E., Parnell, J.: Exploring student's perceptions and experience of personal tutors. *Nurse Education Today*. 31, 904–910 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2011.01.005>.

27.

Teasley, M.L., Buchanan, E.M.: Capturing the Student Perspective: A New Instrument for Measuring Advising Satisfaction. *NACADA Journal*. 33, 4–15 (2013).
<https://doi.org/10.12930/NACADA-12-132>.

28.

McAllister, M., Wynaden, D., Happell, B., Flynn, T., Walters, V., Duggan, R., Byrne, L., Heslop, K., Gaskin, C.: Staff experiences of providing support to students who are managing mental health challenges: A qualitative study from two Australian universities. *Advances in Mental Health*. 12, 192–201 (2014).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/18374905.2014.11081897>.

29.

Building student engagement and belonging in higher education at a time of change: a summary of findings and recommendations from the What works? Student Retention & Success programme | Higher Education Academy,
<https://www.heacademy.ac.uk/resource/building-student-engagement-and-belonging-higher-education-time-change-summary-findings-and>.

30.

Swecker, H.K., Fifolt, M., Searby, L.: Academic Advising and First-Generation College Students: A Quantitative Study on Student Retention. *NACADA Journal*. 33, 46–53 (2013).
<https://doi.org/10.12930/NACADA-13-192>.

31.

Kyra L. Sutton: Student Satisfaction with Information Provided by Academic Advisors. *Journal of STEM Education: Innovations and Research*. 12, (2011).

32.

Weintraub, D.S., Sax, L.J.: The Relationship Between Student–Parent Communication and First-Year Academic Performance. *NACADA Journal*. 38, 61–76 (2018).
<https://doi.org/10.12930/NACADA-16-045>.

33.

Lee, J.A.: Affirmation, Support, and Advocacy: Critical Race Theory and Academic Advising. *NACADA Journal*. 38, 77–87 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.12930/NACADA-17-028>.

34.

Wisker, G., Exley, K., Antoniou, M.: Working one-to-one with students: supervising, coaching, mentoring, and personal tutoring. Routledge, Abingdon (2008).

35.

Junco, R., Mastrodicasa, J.M., Aguiar, A.V., Longnecker, E.M., Rokkum, J.N.: Impact of Technology-Mediated Communication on Student Evaluations of Advising. *NACADA Journal*. 36, 54–66 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.12930/NACADA-16-014>.

36.

Puroway, A.W.: Critical Advising: A Freirian-Inspired Approach. *NACADA Journal*. 36, 4–10 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.12930/NACADA-15-015>.

37.

Musser, T., St. Pierre, T., Wilson, D., Schwartz, M.: Experiences of Male Undergraduates That Lead to Academic Failure. *NACADA Journal*. 37, 87–98 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.12930/NACADA-15-037>.

38.

Morgan, M.: The evolution of student services in the UK. *Perspectives: Policy and Practice in Higher Education*. 1–8 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13603108.2011.652990>.

39.

Race, P.: Making Personal Tutoring Work,
<http://eprints.leedsbeckett.ac.uk/2817/1/100705.7240.LoRes.pdf>.

40.

Ronald Barnett: Will to Learn : Being a Student in an Age of Uncertainty. McGraw-Hill Education (2007).

41.

Stephen, D.E., O'Connell, P., Hall, M.: 'Going the extra mile', 'fire-fighting', or laissez-faire? Re-evaluating personal tutoring relationships within mass higher education. Teaching in Higher Education. 13, 449-460 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13562510802169749>.

42.

Myers, J.: Why support students? Using the past to understand the present. Higher Education Research & Development. 32, 590-602 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360.2012.700509>.

43.

Millennial Students: Rethinking Time Management,
<http://www.nacada.ksu.edu/Resources/Academic-Advising-Today/View-Articles/Millennial-Students-Rethinking-Time-Management.aspx>.

44.

Common Factors: A Meta-Model of Academic Advising,
<http://www.nacada.ksu.edu/Resources/Academic-Advising-Today/View-Articles/Common-Factors-A-Meta-Model-of-Academic-Advising.aspx>.

45.

Pat Folsom, Franklin Yoder, and Jennifer E. Joslin: The New Advisor Guidebook : Mastering the Art of Academic Advising. John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated (2015).

46.

Iatrellis, O., Kameas, A., Fitsilis, P.: Academic Advising Systems: A Systematic Literature

Review of Empirical Evidence. *Education Sciences*. 7, (2017).
<https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci7040090>.

47.

Braun, J., Zolfagharian, M.: Student Participation in Academic Advising: Propensity, Behavior, Attribution and Satisfaction. *Research in Higher Education*. 57, 968–989 (2016).
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11162-016-9414-2>.

48.

McGill, C.M.: "Cultivating Ways of Thinking": The Developmental Teaching Perspective in Academic Advising. *New Horizons in Adult Education and Human Resource Development*. 28, 50–54 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1002/nha3.20131>.

49.

Spencer, J.: Small group teaching. *The Clinical Teacher*. 6, 56–58 (2009).
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1743-498X.2008.00265.x>.

50.

Student perceptions of effective small group teaching. *Medical education*. (3)AD.

51.

Laru, J., Näykki, P., Järvelä, S.: Supporting small-group learning using multiple Web 2.0 tools: A case study in the higher education context. *The Internet and Higher Education*. 15, 29–38 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2011.08.004>.

52.

Mills, D., Alexander, P.: Small-Group Teaching: A Toolkit for Learning,
https://s3.eu-west-2.amazonaws.com/assets.creode.advancehe-document-manager/documents/hea/private/resources/small_group_teaching_1_1568036632.pdf, (2013).

53.

Jaques, D., Salmon, G.: Learning in groups: a handbook for face-to-face and online environments. Routledge, Abingdon, N.Y. (2007).

54.

Swanson, E., McCulley, L.V., Osman, D.J., Scammacca Lewis, N., Solis, M.: The effect of team-based learning on content knowledge: A meta-analysis. *Active Learning in Higher Education*. (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1469787417731201>.

55.

Kate Exley and Reg Dennick: *Small Group Teaching : Tutorials, Seminars and Beyond*. Routledge (2004).

56.

Esisi, M.: Small group teaching. *BMJ*. (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.c6402>.

57.

Yap, R., Moreira, A., Wilkins, S., Reeves, F., Levinson, M., McMurrick, P.: Suturing in Small Group Teaching Settings: a Modification to Peyton's Four-Step Approach. *Medical Science Educator*. 26, 575–580 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40670-016-0296-x>.

58.

Moore, F.: Peer-led small groups: Are we on the right track? *Perspectives on Medical Education*. 6, 325–330 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40037-017-0370-0>.

59.

Hardman, J.: Tutor–student interaction in seminar teaching: Implications for professional development. *Active Learning in Higher Education*. 17, 63–76 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1469787415616728>.

60.

Swanson, N.M., Vaughan, A.L., Wilkinson, B.D.: First-Year Seminars. *Journal of College Student Retention: Research, Theory & Practice*. 18, 386–400 (2017).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1521025115604811>.

61.

Leask, B.: Using Formal and Informal Curricula to Improve Interactions Between Home and International Students. *Journal of Studies in International Education*. 13, 205–221 (2009).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1028315308329786>.

62.

Ottewill, R., McFarlane, B.J.: *Effective learning & teaching in business & management*. Kogan Page, London (2001).

63.

Fry, H., Ketteridge, S., Marshall, S. eds: *A handbook for teaching and learning in higher education: enhancing academic practice*. Routledge, London (2014).

64.

Jaques, D., Salmon, G.: *Learning in groups: a handbook for face-to-face and online environments*. Routledge, Abingdon, N.Y. (2007).

65.

Hockings, C., Cooke, S., Yamashita, H., McGinty, S., Bowl, M.: Switched off? A study of disengagement among computing students at two universities. *Research Papers in Education*. 23, (2008).

66.

Fiechtner, S.B., Davis, E.A.: Republication of "Why some groups fail. *Journal of Management Education*. 40, 12–29 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1052562915619639>.

67.

Boud, D., Cohen, R., Sampson, J.: Peer learning in higher education: learning from & with each other. Kogan Page, London (2001).

68.

Schneider, B., Sharma, K., Cuendet, S., Zufferey, G., Dillenbourg, P., Pea, R.: Leveraging mobile eye-trackers to capture joint visual attention in co-located collaborative learning groups. *International Journal of Computer-Supported Collaborative Learning*. 13, 241–261 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11412-018-9281-2>.

69.

James, P., Hudspeth, C.: How Do You Take Learning Beyond the Classroom in an Interdisciplinary First-Year Seminar? *New Directions for Teaching and Learning*. 2017, 79–95 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1002/tl.20250>.

70.

Baker, J.P., Goodboy, A.K., Bowman, N.D., Wright, A.A.: Does teaching with PowerPoint increase students' learning? A meta-analysis. *Computers & Education*. 126, 376–387 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2018.08.003>.

71.

Lee, J., Lim, C., Kim, H.: Development of an instructional design model for flipped learning in higher education. *Educational Technology Research and Development*. 65, 427–453 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-016-9502-1>.

72.

Gross, M.M., Wright, M.C., Anderson, O.S.: Effects of image-based and text-based active learning exercises on student examination performance in a musculoskeletal anatomy course. *Anatomical Sciences Education*. 10, 444–455 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1002/ase.1684>.

73.

Craig McMillan: From students to scientists: The impact of interactive engagement in lectures. *New Directions in the Teaching of Physical Sciences*. (2018).

74.

Gunderman, R.: Is the Lecture Dead? - The Atlantic,
<http://www.theatlantic.com/health/archive/2013/01/is-the-lecture-dead/272578/>.

75.

Lambert, C.: Twilight of the Lecture. *Harvard Magazine*.

76.

Bligh, D.A.: What's the use of lectures? Intellect, Exeter (1998).

77.

Heaslip, G., Donovan, P., Cullen, J.G.: Student response systems and learner engagement in large classes. *Active Learning in Higher Education*. 15, 11-24 (2014).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1469787413514648>.

78.

Marshall, K.: How to Work the Lecture Hall | Vitae,
<https://chroniclevitae.com/news/970-how-to-work-the-lecture-hall>.

79.

Gibbs, G.: Twenty terrible reasons for lecturing,
<https://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/ocslid/resources/20reasons.html>.

80.

Trengove, E.: Peer interaction as mechanism for providing timely and accessible feedback to a large undergraduate class. *International Journal of Electrical Engineering Education*. 54, 119-130 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0020720916688486>.

81.

Jyoti Mahantesh Nagmoti: Departing from PowerPoint default mode: Applying Mayer's multimedia principles for enhanced learning of parasitology. *Indian Journal of Medical Microbiology*. 35, (2017). https://doi.org/10.4103/ijmm.IJMM_16_251.

82.

Issa, N., Mayer, R.E., Schuller, M., Wang, E., Shapiro, M.B., DaRosa, D.A.: Teaching for understanding in medical classrooms using multimedia design principles. *Medical Education*. 47, 388–396 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1111/medu.12127>.

83.

Hong, S., Yu, P.: Comparison of the effectiveness of two styles of case-based learning implemented in lectures for developing nursing students' critical thinking ability: A randomized controlled trial. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*. 68, 16–24 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2016.12.008>.

84.

Soneral, P.A.G., Wyse, S.A.: A SCALE-UP Mock-Up: Comparison of Student Learning Gains in High- and Low-Tech Active-Learning Environments. *CBE—Life Sciences Education*. 16, (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1187/cbe.16-07-0228>.

85.

Foote, K., Knaub, A., Henderson, C., Dancy, M., Beichner, R.J.: Enabling and challenging factors in institutional reform: The case of SCALE-UP. *PHYSICAL REVIEW PHYSICS EDUCATION RESEARCH*. 12,.

86.

Thai, N.T.T., De Wever, B., Valcke, M.: The impact of a flipped classroom design on learning performance in higher education: Looking for the best "blend" of lectures and guiding questions with feedback. *Computers & Education*. 107, 113–126 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2017.01.003>.

87.

Liu, C., Chen, S., Chi, C., Chien, K.-P., Liu, Y., Chou, T.-L.: The Effects of Clickers With Different Teaching Strategies. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*. 55, 603–628 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0735633116674213>.

88.

Fergusson, S.J., Aka, J.J., Hennessy, C.M., Wilson, A.J., Parson, S.H., Harrison, E.M., Finn, G.M., Gillingwater, T.H.: Examining the impact of audience response systems on student performance in anatomy education: a randomised controlled trial. *Scottish Medical Journal*. 63, 16–21 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0036933017741409>.

89.

Enhancing Diversity in Undergraduate Science: Self-Efficacy Drives Performance Gains with Active Learning | CBE—Life Sciences Education.

90.

Aricò, F.R., Lancaster, S.J.: Facilitating active learning and enhancing student self-assessment skills. *International Review of Economics Education*. 29, 6–13 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iree.2018.06.002>.

91.

Nielsen, K.L., Hansen, G., Stav, J.B.: Teaching with student response systems (SRS): teacher-centric aspects that can negatively affect students' experience of using SRS. *Research in Learning Technology*. 21, (2013). <https://doi.org/10.3402/rlt.v21i0.18989>.

92.

Winton, L.M., Ferguson, E.M.N., Hsu, C.-H., Agee, N., Eubanks, R.D., O'Neill, P.J., Goldberg, R.F., Kopelman, T.R., Nodora, J.N., Caruso, D.M., Komenaka, I.K.: Does Self-Assessment Improve the Effectiveness of Grand Rounds Lectures in a Community-Based Teaching Hospital? *Journal of Surgical Education*. 73, 968–973 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsurg.2016.04.014>.

93.

Shiozawa, T., Butz, B., Herlan, S., Kramer, A., Hirt, B.: Interactive anatomical and surgical live stream lectures improve students' academic performance in applied clinical anatomy. *Anatomical Sciences Education*. 10, 46–52 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1002/ase.1623>.

94.

Simcock, D.C., Chua, W.H., Hekman, M., Levin, M.T., Brown, S.: A survey of first-year biology student opinions regarding live lectures and recorded lectures as learning tools. *Advances in Physiology Education*. 41, 69–76 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1152/advan.00117.2016>.

95.

Scott Cooper: Problem-Solving Modules in Large Introductory Biology Lectures. *The American Biology Teacher*. [https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1662/0002-7685\(2006\)68\[524:PMILIB\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1662/0002-7685(2006)68[524:PMILIB]2.0.CO;2).

96.

Boud, D., Molloy, E.: Rethinking models of feedback for learning: the challenge of design. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*. 38, 698–712 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2012.691462>.

97.

Ajjawi, R., Boud, D.: Examining the nature and effects of feedback dialogue. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*. 1–14 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2018.1434128>.

98.

Pitt, E., Norton, L.: 'Now that's the feedback I want!' Students' reactions to feedback on graded work and what they do with it. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*. 42, 499–516 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2016.1142500>.

99.

Zimbardi, K., Colthorpe, K., Dekker, A., Engstrom, C., Bugarcic, A., Worthy, P., Victor, R., Chunduri, P., Lluka, L., Long, P.: Are they using my feedback? The extent of students'

feedback use has a large impact on subsequent academic performance. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*. 42, 625–644 (2017).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2016.1174187>.

100.

Forsythe, A., Johnson, S.: Thanks, but no-thanks for the feedback. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*. 42, 850–859 (2017).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2016.1202190>.

101.

Li, L.Y.: 'Tell me what to do' vs. 'guide me through it': Feedback experiences of international doctoral students. *Active Learning in Higher Education*. 12, 101–112 (2011).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1469787411402438>.

102.

Hughes, G.: *Ipsative assessment: motivation through marking progress*. Palgrave Macmillan, Houndmills, Basingstoke (2014).

103.

Susan Bloxham and Peter F Boyd: *Developing Effective Assessment in Higher Education : A Practical Guide*. McGraw-Hill Education (2007).

104.

Nicol, D., Thomson, A., Breslin, C.: Rethinking feedback practices in higher education: a peer review perspective. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*. 39, 102–122 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2013.795518>.

105.

Orsmond, P., Maw, S.J., Park, J.R., Gomez, S., Crook, A.C.: Moving feedback forward: theory to practice. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*. 38, 240–252 (2013).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2011.625472>.

106.

Denton, P., McIlroy, D.: Response of students to statement bank feedback: the impact of assessment literacy on performances in summative tasks. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*. 43, 197–206 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2017.1324017>.

107.

Sellbjer, S.: "Have you read my comments? It is not noticeable. Change!" An analysis of feedback given to students who have failed examinations. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*. 43, 163–174 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2017.1310801>.

108.

Ackerman, D.S., Gross, B.L.: Instructor Feedback: How Much Do Students Really Want? *Journal of Marketing Education*. 32, 172–181 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0273475309360159>.

109.

Carless, D.: Differing perceptions in the feedback process. *Studies in Higher Education*. 31, 219–233 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075070600572132>.

110.

Orsmond, P., Merry, S.: Feedback alignment: effective and ineffective links between tutors' and students' understanding of coursework feedback. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*. 36, 125–136 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602930903201651>.

111.

Glover, C., Brown, E.: Written Feedback for Students: too much, too detailed or too incomprehensible to be effective? *Bioscience Education*. 7, 1–16 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.3108/beej.2006.07000004>.

112.

Busse, V.: How do students of German perceive feedback practices at university? A motivational exploration. *Journal of Second Language Writing*. 22, 406–424 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jslw.2013.09.005>.

113.

Duijnhouwer, H., Prins, F.J., Stokking, K.M.: Feedback providing improvement strategies and reflection on feedback use: Effects on students' writing motivation, process, and performance. *Learning and Instruction*. 22, 171–184 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2011.10.003>.

114.

Bryan, C., Clegg, K.: *Innovative assessment in higher education*. Routledge, New York (2006).

115.

Broadbent, J., Panadero, E., Boud, D.: Implementing summative assessment with a formative flavour: a case study in a large class. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*. 43, 307–322 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2017.1343455>.

116.

Sadler, D.R.: Beyond feedback: developing student capability in complex appraisal. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*. 35, 535–550 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602930903541015>.

117.

Price, M., Handley, K., Millar, J., O'Donovan, B.: Feedback : all that effort, but what is the effect? *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*. 35, 277–289 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602930903541007>.

118.

Setyonugroho, W., Kennedy, K.M., Kropmans, T.J.B.: Reliability and validity of OSCE checklists used to assess the communication skills of undergraduate medical students: A systematic review. *Patient Education and Counseling*. 98, 1482–1491 (2015).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pec.2015.06.004>.

119.

Yiend, J., Weller, S., Kinchin, I.: Peer observation of teaching: The interaction between peer review and developmental models of practice. *Journal of Further and Higher Education*. 38, 465–484 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1080/0309877X.2012.726967>.

120.

Körkkö, M., Kyrö-Ämmälä, O., Turunen, T.: Professional development through reflection in teacher education. *Teaching and Teacher Education*. 55, 198–206 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2016.01.014>.

121.

Schon, D.A.: *Educating the reflective practitioner* / Donald A. Schon. , San Francisco : Jossey-Bass (1987).

122.

Leitch, R., Day, C.: Action research and reflective practice: towards a holistic view. *Educational Action Research*. 8, 179–193 (2000). <https://doi.org/10.1080/09650790000200108>.

123.

Reflective Practice in Geography Teaching. SAGE Publications (2000).

124.

Hatton, N., Smith, D.: Reflection in teacher education: Towards definition and implementation. *Teaching and Teacher Education*. 11, 33–49 (1995). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0742-051X\(94\)00012-U](https://doi.org/10.1016/0742-051X(94)00012-U).

125.

Leijen, Ä., Allas, R., Toom, A., Husu, J., Marcos, J.-J.M., Meijer, P., Knezic, D., Pedaste, M., Krull, E.: Guided Reflection for Supporting the Development of Student Teachers' Practical Knowledge. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*. 112, 314–322 (2014).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.01.1170>.

126.

Potter, C.: Leadership development: an applied comparison of Gibbs' Reflective Cycle and Scharmer's Theory U. *Industrial and Commercial Training*. 47, 336–342 (2015).
<https://doi.org/10.1108/ICT-03-2015-0024>.

127.

Larrivee, B.: Transforming Teaching Practice: Becoming the critically reflective teacher. *Reflective Practice*. 1, 293–307 (2000). <https://doi.org/10.1080/713693162>.

128.

Toom, A., Husu, J., Patrikainen, S.: Student teachers' patterns of reflection in the context of teaching practice. *European Journal of Teacher Education*. 38, 320–340 (2015).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/02619768.2014.943731>.

129.

Issa, N., Mayer, R.E., Schuller, M., Wang, E., Shapiro, M.B., DaRosa, D.A.: Teaching for understanding in medical classrooms using multimedia design principles. *Medical Education*. 47, 388–396 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1111/medu.12127>.

130.

Jyoti Mahantesh Nagmoti: Departing from PowerPoint default mode: Applying Mayer's multimedia principles for enhanced learning of parasitology. *Indian Journal of Medical Microbiology*. 35, (2017). https://doi.org/10.4103/ijmm.IJMM_16_251.

131.

Mavromihales, M., Holmes, V., Racasan, R.: Game-based learning in mechanical engineering education: Case study of games-based learning application in computer aided design assembly. *International Journal of Mechanical Engineering Education*. (2018).

<https://doi.org/10.1177/0306419018762571>.

132.

HEW, K.F., LO, C.K.: Flipped classroom improves student learning in health professions education: a meta-analysis. *BMC Medical Education*. 18, (2018).
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-018-1144-z>.

133.

Baepler, P., Walker, J.D., Driessen, M.: It's not about seat time: Blending, flipping, and efficiency in active learning classrooms. *Computers & Education*. 78, 227–236 (2014).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2014.06.006>.

134.

Roach, T.: Student perceptions toward flipped learning: New methods to increase interaction and active learning in economics. *International Review of Economics Education*. 17, 74–84 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iree.2014.08.003>.

135.

Chen, K.-S., Monrouxe, L., Lu, Y.-H., Jenq, C.-C., Chang, Y.-J., Chang, Y.-C., Chai, P.Y.-C.: Academic outcomes of flipped classroom learning: a meta-analysis. *Medical Education*. 52, 910–924 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1111/medu.13616>.

136.

Hu, R., Gao, H., Ye, Y., Ni, Z., Jiang, N., Jiang, X.: Effectiveness of flipped classrooms in Chinese baccalaureate nursing education: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*. 79, 94–103 (2018).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2017.11.012>.

137.

Issa, N., Schuller, M., Santacaterina, S., Shapiro, M., Wang, E., Mayer, R.E., DaRosa, D.A.: Applying multimedia design principles enhances learning in medical education. *Medical Education*. 45, 818–826 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2923.2011.03988.x>.

138.

Issa, N., Mayer, R.E., Schuller, M., Wang, E., Shapiro, M.B., DaRosa, D.A.: Teaching for understanding in medical classrooms using multimedia design principles. *Medical Education*. 47, 388–396 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1111/medu.12127>.

139.

Renkl, A., Scheiter, K.: Studying Visual Displays: How to Instructionally Support Learning. *Educational Psychology Review*. 29, 599–621 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-015-9340-4>.

140.

Pickering, J.D.: Anatomy drawing screencasts: Enabling flexible learning for medical students. *Anatomical Sciences Education*. 8, 249–257 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1002/ase.1480>.

141.

Lau, K.H.V.: Computer-based teaching module design: principles derived from learning theories. *Medical Education*. 48, 247–254 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1111/medu.12357>.

142.

Graafland, M., Dankbaar, M., Mert, A., Lagro, J., De Wit-Zuurendonk, L., Schuit, S., Schaafstal, A., Schijven, M.: How to Systematically Assess Serious Games Applied to Health Care. *JMIR Serious Games*. 2, (2014). <https://doi.org/10.2196/games.3825>.

143.

Graafland, M., Schraagen, J.M., Schijven, M.P.: Systematic review of serious games for medical education and surgical skills training. *British Journal of Surgery*. 99, 1322–1330 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1002/bjs.8819>.

144.

Germany, R., Mulligan, B., Roberts, D.H.: Infusing Theory into the Undergraduate Classics Curriculum: Examples from Haverford College's Senior Seminar, Translation and Transformation, and History of Literary Theory. *Classical World*. 108, 221-242 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1353/clw.2015.0023>.

145.

Chen, C.-M., Wu, C.-H.: Effects of different video lecture types on sustained attention, emotion, cognitive load, and learning performance. *Computers & Education*. 80, 108-121 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2014.08.015>.

146.

Long, T., Logan, J., Waugh, M.: Students' Perceptions of the Value of Using Videos as a Pre-class Learning Experience in the Flipped Classroom. *TechTrends*. 60, 245-252 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11528-016-0045-4>.

147.

Michaelson, L.K.: *Designing Effective Group Activities: Lessons for Classroom Teaching and Faculty Development*, <http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1384&context=podimprovement>.

148.

Balslev, T., Rasmussen, A.B., Skajaa, T., Nielsen, J.P., Muijtjens, A., De Grave, W., Van Merriënboer, J.: Combining bimodal presentation schemes and buzz groups improves clinical reasoning and learning at morning report. *Medical Teacher*. 37, 759-766 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2014.986445>.

149.

Katyal, R: Enhancing student's learning by introducing various interactive teaching-learning methods in large group. *International journal of biomedical and advance research*. (2016).

150.

Posel, N., Mcgee, J.B., Fleischer, D.M.: Twelve tips to support the development of clinical reasoning skills using virtual patient cases. *Medical Teacher*. 37, 813–818 (2015).
<https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2014.993951>.

151.

Cohen, D.A., Newman, L.R., Fishman, L.N.: Twelve tips on writing a discussion case that facilitates teaching and engages learners. *Medical Teacher*. 39, 147–152 (2017).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/0142159X.2017.1266315>.

152.

Imai, P.H., Kresyman, S., Asadoorian, J.: Factors Influencing Dental Educators As They Develop Problem-Based Learning Cases. *Journal of Dental Education*. 80, 731–740 (2016).
<https://doi.org/10.1002/j.0022-0337.2016.80.6.tb06136.x>.

153.

Wang, J., Ni, H., Rui, Y., Cui, C., Cheng, L.: A WebGIS-based teaching assistant system for geography field practice (TASGFP). *British Journal of Educational Technology*. 47, 279–293 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12231>.

154.

Pawson, E., Fournier, E., Haigh, M., Muniz, O., Trafford, J., Vajoczki, S.: Problem-based Learning in Geography: Towards a Critical Assessment of its Purposes, Benefits and Risks. *Journal of Geography in Higher Education*. 30, 103–116 (2006).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/03098260500499709>.

155.

Pickering, J.D., Joynes, V.C.T.: A holistic model for evaluating the impact of individual technology-enhanced learning resources. *Medical Teacher*. 38, 1242–1247 (2016).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/0142159X.2016.1210112>.

156.

Duffy, R.M., Guerandel, A., Casey, P., Malone, K., Kelly, B.D.: Experiences of Using Prezi in Psychiatry Teaching. *Academic Psychiatry*. 39, 615–619 (2015).

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s40596-014-0204-x>.

157.

Kirsten Zimbardi: Using Inquiry-based Practicals to Promote Students' Critical Evaluation of the Scientific Literature and Maturation of their Understanding of the Nature of Scientific Knowledge. *International Journal of Innovation in Science and Mathematics Education (formerly CAL-laborate International)*. 23, (2016).

158.

Alexandra Yeung: Invigorating science practicals using an inquiry orientated pedagogical tool. *Proceedings of The Australian Conference on Science and Mathematics Education (formerly UniServe Science Conference)*. (2015).

159.

Reid, S., Shapiro, L., Louw, G.: How Haptics and Drawing Enhance the Learning of Anatomy. *Anatomical Sciences Education*. (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1002/ase.1807>.

160.

Cho, D., Cosimini, M., Espinoza, J.: Podcasting in medical education: a review of the literature. *Korean Journal of Medical Education*. 29, 229-239 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.3946/kjme.2017.69>.

161.

Davies, C.: *Learning and Teaching in Laboratories: An Engineering Subject Centre Guide*, <https://www.heacademy.ac.uk/system/files/learning-teaching-labs.pdf>, (2008).

162.

Kreber, C.: *The university and its disciplines: teaching and learning within and beyond disciplinary boundaries*. Routledge, New York (2008).

163.

Becher, T.: Academic tribes and territories: intellectual enquiry and the cultures of disciplines. Society for Research into Higher Education, Buckingham (1989).

164.

Shulman, L.S.: Signature pedagogies in the professions. *Daedalus*. 134, 52–59 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1162/0011526054622015>.

165.

Gurung, R.A.R., Chick, N.L., Haynie, A.: Exploring signature pedagogies: approaches to teaching disciplinary habits of mind. Stylus, Sterling, Va (2009).

166.

Nancy L. Chick, Aeron Haynie, Regan A. R. Gurung: Exploring more signature pedagogies. Stylus Pub., Sterling, Va (2012).

167.

Middendorf, J., Pace, D.: Decoding the disciplines: A model for helping students learn disciplinary ways of thinking. *New Directions for Teaching and Learning*. 2004, 1–12 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1002/tl.142>.

168.

Jessop, T., Maleckar, B.: The influence of disciplinary assessment patterns on student learning: a comparative study. *Studies in Higher Education*. 41, 696–711 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2014.943170>.

169.

Land, R., Meyer, J.H.F., Flanagan, M.T. eds: Threshold concepts in practice. Sense Publishers, Rotterdam, The Netherlands (2016).

170.

Ottewill, R., McFarlane, B.J.: Effective learning & teaching in business & management. Kogan Page, London (2001).

171.

Martensson, P., Bild, M., Nilsson, K.: Teaching and learning at business schools: transforming business education. Gower, Aldershot, England (2008).

172.

Findlay-Thompson, Sandi: Evaluation of a Flipped Classroom in an Undergraduate Business Course.

173.

Eckmann, J.: Law School Teaching: Linking Learning with Law Practice. Legal education review. 257–268 (2004).

174.

Burridge, R., Institute for Learning and Teaching in Higher Education (Great Britain): Effective learning & teaching in law. Kogan Page, London (2002).

175.

Klink, B. van, Vries, U.R.M.Th. de eds: Academic learning in law: theoretical positions, teaching experiments and learning experiences. Edward Elgar Publishing, Cheltenham, UK (2016).

176.

Bamford, D.: Learning the 'How' of the Law: Teaching Procedure and Legal Education. Osgoode Hall law journal (1960). (10)AD.

177.

Knights, B.: Intelligence and Interrogation: The identity of the English student. Arts and

Humanities in Higher Education. 4, 33–52 (2005).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1474022205048757>.

178.

Arts and Humanities in Higher Education. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1474022216628303#>.

179.

Alder, E.: Becoming a student of English: Students' experiences of transition into the first year. *Arts and Humanities in Higher Education*. 17, 185–203 (2018).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1474022216628303>.

180.

Milthorpe, N., Clarke, R., Fletcher, L., Moore, R., Stark, H.: Blended English: Technology-enhanced teaching and learning in English literary studies. *Arts and Humanities in Higher Education*. 17, 345–365 (2018).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1474022217722140>.

181.

Cunningham, C.: Teaching and learning French – A tale of desire in the humanities. *Arts and Humanities in Higher Education*. 16, 127–140 (2017).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1474022215599165>.

182.

Dörnyei, Z., Malderez, A.: Group dynamics and foreign language teaching. *System*. 25, 65–81 (1997). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0346-251X\(96\)00061-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0346-251X(96)00061-9).

183.

Effective Learning and Teaching in Modern Languages. Taylor & Francis Group (2004).

184.

Calder, L.: Uncoverage: Toward a Signature Pedagogy for the History Survey. *Journal of American History*. 92, 1358–1370 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.2307/4485896>.

185.

Gannon, K.: Getting Medieval with Team-Based Learning â€” The Tattooed Professor, <http://www.thetattooedprof.com/archives/449>.

186.

Middendorf, J., Mickute, J., Saunders, T., Najar, J., Clark-Huckstep, A.E., Pace, D.: What's feeling got to do with it? Decoding emotional bottlenecks in the history classroom. *Arts and Humanities in Higher Education*. 14, 166–180 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1474022214552655>.

187.

Booth, A., Ludvigsson, D.: Tuning history. *Arts and Humanities in Higher Education*. (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1474022216686507>.

188.

Geoff Timmins, Keith Vernon, and Christine Kinealy: *Teaching and Learning History*. SAGE Publications (2009).

189.

Alan Booth: *Teaching History at University : Enhancing Learning and Understanding*. Routledge (2013).

190.

Fyfe, A.: Uncomfortable departments: British historians of science and the importance of disciplinary communities. *Arts and Humanities in Higher Education*. 14, 194–205 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1474022214549438>.

191.

Poletti, A., Seaboyer, J., Kennedy, R., Barnett, T., Douglas, K.: The affects of not reading: Hating characters, being bored, feeling stupid. *Arts and Humanities in Higher Education*. 15, 231–247 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1474022214556898>.

192.

Reflective Practice in Geography Teaching. SAGE Publications (2000).

193.

Kinchin, I.M., Francis, R.A.: Mapping pedagogic frailty in geography education: a framed autoethnographic case study. *Journal of Geography in Higher Education*. 41, 56–74 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1080/03098265.2016.1241988>.

194.

Pawson, E., Fournier, E., Haigh, M., Muniz, O., Trafford, J., Vajoczki, S.: Problem-based Learning in Geography: Towards a Critical Assessment of its Purposes, Benefits and Risks. *Journal of Geography in Higher Education*. 30, 103–116 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1080/03098260500499709>.

195.

Journal of Geography in Higher Education: Vol 42, No 1.

196.

Kahn, P.B., Kyle, J., Institute for Learning and Teaching in Higher Education (Great Britain): Effective learning and teaching in mathematics and its applications. Kogan Page, London (2002).

197.

Lo, C.K., Hew, K.F., Chen, G.: Toward a set of design principles for mathematics flipped classrooms: A synthesis of research in mathematics education. *Educational Research Review*. 22, 50–73 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2017.08.002>.

198.

HERSAM, M.C., LUNA, M., LIGHT, G.: Implementation of Interdisciplinary Group Learning and Peer Assessment in a Nanotechnology Engineering Course. *Journal of Engineering Education*. 93, 49–57 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2168-9830.2004.tb00787.x>.

199.

Holmes, N.G., Wieman, C.E.: Introductory physics labs: We can do better. *Physics Today*. 71, 38–45 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1063/PT.3.3816>.

200.

Arthur, P., Ludwig, M., Castelli, J., Kirkwood, P., Attwood, P.: Prepare, Do, Review: A skills-based approach for laboratory practical classes in biochemistry and molecular biology. *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Education*. 44, 276–287 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1002/bmb.20951>.

201.

Cooper, M.M., Stowe, R.L.: Chemistry Education Research—From Personal Empiricism to Evidence, Theory, and Informed Practice. *Chemical Reviews*. 118, 6053–6087 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.8b00020>.

202.

Bill Lucas: Thinking Like an Engineer: Using Engineering Habits of Mind and Signature Pedagogies to Redesign Engineering Education, <http://journals.sfu.ca/onlinejour/index.php/i-jep/article/view/5366>, (2016).

203.

Dolan, E.L.: Undergraduate research as curriculum. *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Education*. 45, 293–298 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1002/bmb.21070>.

204.

Santas, A.J.: Reciprocity within biochemistry and biology service-learning. *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Education*. 37, 143–151 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1002/bmb.20291>.

205.

Young, J.M., Shepardson, D.P.: Using Q methodology to investigate undergraduate students' attitudes toward the geosciences. *Science Education*. 102, 195–214 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.21320>.

206.

Hanh, N.V., Hop, N.H.: The effectiveness of the industrial field trip in introduction to engineering: A case study at Hung Yen University of Technology and Education, Vietnam. *International Journal of Electrical Engineering Education*. (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0020720918773050>.

207.

Rubner, G.: First-year undergraduate teaching of electrical and electronic engineering: innovation and inspiration. *International Journal of Electrical Engineering Education*. 54, 281–282 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0020720917694997>.

208.

Cavalcanti, J., Figueredo, L.F., Ishihara, J.Y., Bernardes, M.C., Santana, P.H., Vargas, A.N., Borges, G.A.: A real-time web-based networked control system education platform. *International Journal of Electrical Engineering Education*. 55, 130–141 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0020720917750952>.

209.

Twigg, P., Ponnappalli, P., Fowler, M.: Workshop problem-solving for improved student engagement and increased learning in Engineering Control. *International Journal of Electrical Engineering Education*. 55, 120–129 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0020720918756258>.

210.

Coppens, P., Van den Bossche, J., De Cock, M.: Student understanding of phase shifts, frequency and Bode plots. *International Journal of Electrical Engineering Education*. 54,

247–261 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0020720916680373>.

211.

Judge, M.: Large-scale Laboratory Teaching for 1st Year EEE Undergraduates. *International Journal of Electrical Engineering Education*. 54, 164–177 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0020720916688487>.

212.

Mavromihales, M., Holmes, V., Racasan, R.: Game-based learning in mechanical engineering education: Case study of games-based learning application in computer aided design assembly. *International Journal of Mechanical Engineering Education*. (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0306419018762571>.

213.

Heywood, J.: *The assessment of learning in engineering education: practice and policy*. IEEE Press, Piscataway, NJ (2016).

214.

Odeh, S., McKenna, S., Abu-Mulaweh, H.: A unified first-year engineering design-based learning course. *International Journal of Mechanical Engineering Education*. 45, 47–58 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0306419016674508>.

215.

Tian, Z.F.: Teaching and enhancement of critical thinking skills for undergraduate students in a computational fluid dynamics course. *International Journal of Mechanical Engineering Education*. 45, 76–88 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0306419016674133>.

216.

Mariasiu, F., Raboca, H.M.: Assessment of extracurricular activities' effects on automotive engineering education: A cross-national study. *International Journal of Mechanical Engineering Education*. 45, 120–141 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0306419016674144>.

217.

Kim, M., Diong, C.H., ProQuest (Firm): Biology education for social and sustainable development. Sense Publishers, Rotterdam (2012).

218.

Letchford, J., Corradi, H., Day, T.: A flexible e-learning resource promoting the critical reading of scientific papers for science undergraduates. *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Education*. 45, 483–490 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1002/bmb.21072>.

219.

Cubas Rolim, E., Martins de Oliveira, J., Dalvi, L.T., Moreira, D.C., Garcia Caldas, N., Fernandes Lobo, F., André Polli, D., Campos, É.G., Hermes-Lima, M.: Blog construction as an effective tool in biochemistry active learning. *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Education*. 45, 205–215 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1002/bmb.21028>.

220.

Goff, E.E., Reindl, K.M., Johnson, C., McClean, P., Offerdahl, E.G., Schroeder, N.L., White, A.R.: Variation in external representations as part of the classroom lecture: An investigation of virtual cell animations in introductory photosynthesis instruction*. *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Education*. 45, 226–234 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1002/bmb.21032>.

221.

Eberlein, T., Kampmeier, J., Minderhout, V., Moog, R.S., Platt, T., Varma-Nelson, P., White, H.B.: Pedagogies of engagement in science. *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Education*. 36, 262–273 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1002/bmb.20204>.

222.

Thaman, R., Dillon, S., Saggar, S., Gupta, M., Kaur, H.: Promoting active learning in respiratory physiology – Positive student perception and improved outcomes. *National Journal of Physiology, Pharmacy and Pharmacology*. 3, 27–34 (2013).

223.

Cantillon, P., Wood, D.: ABC of learning and teaching in medicine. Wiley-Blackwell, Chichester (2010).

224.

McKimm, J., Swanick, T.: Clinical teaching made easy: a practical guide to teaching and learning in clinical settings. Quay, London (2010).

225.

Bleakley, A.: Pre-registration house officers and ward-based learning: a 'new apprenticeship' model. *Medical Education*. 36, 9–15 (2002).
<https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2923.2002.01128.x>.

226.

Egan, T., Jaye, C.: Communities of clinical practice: the social organization of clinical learning. *Health*: 13, 107–125 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1363459308097363>.

227.

Launer, J.: Managing the threat to reflective writing. *Postgraduate Medical Journal*. 94, 314–315 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1136/postgradmedj-2018-135753>.

228.

Birden, H., Glass, N., Wilson, I., Harrison, M., Usherwood, T., Nass, D.: Teaching professionalism in medical education: A Best Evidence Medical Education (BEME) systematic review. BEME Guide No. 25. *Medical Teacher*. 35, e1252–e1266 (2013).
<https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2013.789132>.

229.

Rivière, E., Saucier, D., Lafleur, A., Lacasse, M., Chiniara, G.: Twelve tips for efficient procedural simulation. *Medical Teacher*. 1–9 (2017).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/0142159X.2017.1391375>.

230.

Losco, C.D., Grant, W.D., Armson, A., Meyer, A.J., Walker, B.F.: Effective methods of teaching and learning in anatomy as a basic science: A BEME systematic review: BEME guide no. 44. *Medical Teacher*. 39, 234–243 (2017).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/0142159X.2016.1271944>.

231.

Ellaway, R.H., O’Gorman, L., Strasser, R., Marsh, D.C., Graves, L., Fink, P., Cervin, C.: A critical hybrid realist-outcomes systematic review of relationships between medical education programmes and communities: BEME Guide No. 35. *Medical Teacher*. 38, 229–245 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2015.1112894>.

232.

Park, S., Khan, N.F., Hampshire, M., Knox, R., Malpass, A., Thomas, J., Anagnostelis, B., Newman, M., Bower, P., Rosenthal, J., Murray, E., Iliffe, S., Heneghan, C., Band, A., Georgieva, Z.: A BEME systematic review of UK undergraduate medical education in the general practice setting: BEME Guide No. 32. *Medical Teacher*. 37, 611–630 (2015).
<https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2015.1032918>.

233.

Motola, I., Devine, L.A., Chung, H.S., Sullivan, J.E., Issenberg, S.B.: Simulation in healthcare education: A best evidence practical guide. AMEE Guide No. 82. *Medical Teacher*. 35, e1511–e1530 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2013.818632>.

234.

Fatmi, M., Hartling, L., Hillier, T., Campbell, S., Oswald, A.E.: The effectiveness of team-based learning on learning outcomes in health professions education: BEME Guide No. 30. *Medical Teacher*. 35, e1608–e1624 (2013).
<https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2013.849802>.

235.

Braeckman, L., 't Kint, L., Bekaert, M., Cobbaut, L., Janssens, H.: Comparison of two case-based learning conditions with real patients in teaching occupational medicine.

Medical Teacher. 36, 340–346 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2014.887833>.

236.

Merritt, C., Munzer, B.W., Wolff, M., Santen, S.A.: Not Another Bedside Lecture: Active Learning Techniques for Clinical Instruction. *AEM Education and Training*. 2, 48–50 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1002/aet2.10069>.

237.

Mac Giolla Phadraig, C., Nunn, J.H., Tornsey, O., Timms, M.: Does Special Care Dentistry undergraduate teaching improve dental student attitudes towards people with disabilities? *European Journal of Dental Education*. 19, 107–112 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1111/eje.12110>.

238.

Cameron, D.A., Binnie, V.I., Sherriff, A., Bissell, V.: Peer assisted learning: teaching dental skills and enhancing graduate attributes. *British Dental Journal*. 219, 267–272 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bdj.2015.722>.

239.

Beveridge, E.: Learning from patients. *BMJ*. 334, s83.2-s84 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.334.7592.s83-a>.

240.

Gamble Blakey, A., Golding, C.: 'Of Course They're Bloody Scared!' Managing Medical Student Fear to Better Cultivate Thinking. *Medical Science Educator*. 28, 165–173 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40670-017-0524-z>.