

# ADP7117 & ADP7217: Learning & Teaching in the Discipline

View Online



---

1.

QMUL Graduate Attributes. (2009).

2.

Student Experience, Teaching, Learning and Assessment Strategy (SETLA) 2014 - the next five years. (2014).

3.

TEF Year Two provider submission.

4.

Kalfa, S. & Taksa, L. Cultural capital in business higher education: reconsidering the graduate attributes movement and the focus on employability. *Studies in Higher Education* **40**, 580–595 (2015).

5.

Clarke, M. Rethinking graduate employability: the role of capital, individual attributes and context. *Studies in Higher Education* 1–15 (2017) doi:10.1080/03075079.2017.1294152.

6.

Quinlan, K. M. Developing student character through disciplinary curricula: an analysis of

UK QAA subject benchmark statements. *Studies in Higher Education* **41**, 1041–1054 (2016).

7.

Artess, Jane. *Employability: A review of the literature 2012-2016*. (2017).

8.

Gourlay, L. & Stevenson, J. Teaching excellence in higher education: critical perspectives. *Teaching in Higher Education* **22**, 391–395 (2017).

9.

Jackson, D. Business Undergraduates' Perceptions of Their Capabilities in Employability Skills. *Industry and Higher Education* **26**, 345–356 (2012).

10.

Hill, J., Walkington, H. & France, D. Graduate attributes: implications for higher education practice and policy. *Journal of Geography in Higher Education* **40**, 155–163 (2016).

11.

de la Harpe, B. & David, C. Major influences on the teaching and assessment of graduate attributes. *Higher Education Research & Development* **31**, 493–510 (2012).

12.

Mearman, A., Guizzo, D. & Berger, S. Is UK economics teaching changing? Evaluating the new subject benchmark statement. *Review of Social Economy* **76**, 377–396 (2018).

13.

Shahid Yusuf and Kaoru Nabeshima. *How Universities Promote Economic Growth*. (World Bank Publications, 2006).

14.

Bowl, M. & Hughes, J. Fair access and fee setting in English universities: what do institutional statements suggest about university strategies in a stratified quasi-market? *Studies in Higher Education* **41**, 269–287 (2016).

15.

Marginson, S. Public/private in higher education: a synthesis of economic and political approaches. *Studies in Higher Education* **43**, 322–337 (2018).

16.

Troschitz, R. & EBSCOhost. Higher education and the student: from welfare state to neoliberalism. (Routledge, 2017).

17.

Patrick Alan Danaher and Kalwant Bhopal. Identity and Pedagogy in Higher Education : International Comparisons. (Bloomsbury Publishing PLC, 2013).

18.

Becher, T. Academic tribes and territories: intellectual enquiry and the cultures of disciplines. (Society for Research into Higher Education, 1989).

19.

Kreber, C. The university and its disciplines: teaching and learning within and beyond disciplinary boundaries. (Routledge, 2008).

20.

Threshold concepts in practice. vol. volume 68 (Sense Publishers, 2016).

21.

Gurung, R. A. R., Chick, N. L. & Haynie, A. Exploring signature pedagogies: approaches to teaching disciplinary habits of mind. (Stylus, 2009).

22.

Shulman, L. S. Signature pedagogies in the professions. *Daedalus* **134**, 52–59 (2005).

23.

What are threshold concepts and how can they inform medical education? - PubMed - NCBI. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26609736>.

24.

Neve, H., Wearn, A. & Collett, T. What are threshold concepts and how can they inform medical education? *Medical Teacher* **38**, 850–853 (2016).

25.

Bhat, C., Burm, S., Mohan, T., Chahine, S. & Goldszmidt, M. What trainees grapple with: a study of threshold concepts on the medicine ward. *Medical Education* **52**, 620–631 (2018).

26.

Braine, M. E. & Parnell, J. Exploring student's perceptions and experience of personal tutors. *Nurse Education Today* **31**, 904–910 (2011).

27.

Teasley, M. L. & Buchanan, E. M. Capturing the Student Perspective: A New Instrument for Measuring Advising Satisfaction. *NACADA Journal* **33**, 4–15 (2013).

28.

McAllister, M. et al. Staff experiences of providing support to students who are managing mental health challenges: A qualitative study from two Australian universities. *Advances in Mental Health* **12**, 192–201 (2014).

29.

Building student engagement and belonging in higher education at a time of change: a summary of findings and recommendations from the What works? Student Retention & Success programme | Higher Education Academy.  
<https://www.heacademy.ac.uk/resource/building-student-engagement-and-belonging-higher-education-time-change-summary-findings-and>.

30.

Swecker, H. K., Fifolt, M. & Searby, L. Academic Advising and First-Generation College Students: A Quantitative Study on Student Retention. *NACADA Journal* **33**, 46–53 (2013).

31.

Kyra L. Sutton. Student Satisfaction with Information Provided by Academic Advisors. *Journal of STEM Education: Innovations and Research* **12**, (2011).

32.

Weintraub, D. S. & Sax, L. J. The Relationship Between Student–Parent Communication and First-Year Academic Performance. *NACADA Journal* **38**, 61–76 (2018).

33.

Lee, J. A. Affirmation, Support, and Advocacy: Critical Race Theory and Academic Advising. *NACADA Journal* **38**, 77–87 (2018).

34.

Wisker, G., Exley, K. & Antoniou, M. Working one-to-one with students: supervising, coaching, mentoring, and personal tutoring. (Routledge, 2008).

35.

Junco, R., Mastrodicasa, J. M., Aguiar, A. V., Longnecker, E. M. & Rokkum, J. N. Impact of Technology-Mediated Communication on Student Evaluations of Advising. *NACADA Journal* **36**, 54–66 (2016).

36.

Puroway, A. W. Critical Advising: A Freirian-Inspired Approach. *NACADA Journal* **36**, 4–10 (2016).

37.

Musser, T., St. Pierre, T., Wilson, D. & Schwartz, M. Experiences of Male Undergraduates That Lead to Academic Failure. *NACADA Journal* **37**, 87–98 (2017).

38.

Morgan, M. The evolution of student services in the UK. Perspectives: Policy and Practice in Higher Education 1–8 (2012) doi:10.1080/13603108.2011.652990.

39.

Race, P. Making Personal Tutoring Work.

40.

Ronald Barnett. Will to Learn : Being a Student in an Age of Uncertainty. (McGraw-Hill Education, 2007).

41.

Stephen, D. E., O'Connell, P. & Hall, M. 'Going the extra mile', 'fire-fighting', or laissez-faire? Re-evaluating personal tutoring relationships within mass higher education. *Teaching in Higher Education* **13**, 449–460 (2008).

42.

Myers, J. Why support students? Using the past to understand the present. Higher Education Research & Development **32**, 590–602 (2013).

43.

Millennial Students: Rethinking Time Management.

<http://www.nacada.ksu.edu/Resources/Academic-Advising-Today/View-Articles/Millennial-Students-Rethinking-Time-Management.aspx>.

44.

Common Factors: A Meta-Model of Academic Advising.

<http://www.nacada.ksu.edu/Resources/Academic-Advising-Today/View-Articles/Common-Factors-A-Meta-Model-of-Academic-Advising.aspx>.

45.

Pat Folsom, Franklin Yoder, and Jennifer E. Joslin. The New Advisor Guidebook : Mastering the Art of Academic Advising. (John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated, 2015).

46.

Iatrellis, O., Kameas, A. & Fitsilis, P. Academic Advising Systems: A Systematic Literature Review of Empirical Evidence. Education Sciences **7**, (2017).

47.

Braun, J. & Zolfagharian, M. Student Participation in Academic Advising: Propensity, Behavior, Attribution and Satisfaction. Research in Higher Education **57**, 968–989 (2016).

48.

McGill, C. M. "Cultivating Ways of Thinking": The Developmental Teaching Perspective in Academic Advising. New Horizons in Adult Education and Human Resource Development **28**, 50–54 (2016).

49.

Spencer, J. Small group teaching. *The Clinical Teacher* **6**, 56–58 (2009).

50.

Student perceptions of effective small group teaching. *Medical education* (3AD).

51.

Laru, J., Näykki, P. & Järvelä, S. Supporting small-group learning using multiple Web 2.0 tools: A case study in the higher education context. *The Internet and Higher Education* **15**, 29–38 (2012).

52.

Mills, D. & Alexander, P. *Small-Group Teaching: A Toolkit for Learning*. (2013).

53.

Jaques, D. & Salmon, G. *Learning in groups: a handbook for face-to-face and online environments*. (Routledge, 2007).

54.

Swanson, E., McCulley, L. V., Osman, D. J., Scammacca Lewis, N. & Solis, M. The effect of team-based learning on content knowledge: A meta-analysis. *Active Learning in Higher Education* (2017) doi:10.1177/1469787417731201.

55.

Kate Exley and Reg Dennick. *Small Group Teaching : Tutorials, Seminars and Beyond*. (Routledge, 2004).

56.

Esisi, M. Small group teaching. *BMJ* (2010) doi:10.1136/bmj.c6402.



57.

Yap, R. et al. Suturing in Small Group Teaching Settings: a Modification to Peyton's Four-Step Approach. *Medical Science Educator* **26**, 575–580 (2016).

58.

Moore, F. Peer-led small groups: Are we on the right track? *Perspectives on Medical Education* **6**, 325–330 (2017).

59.

Hardman, J. Tutor–student interaction in seminar teaching: Implications for professional development. *Active Learning in Higher Education* **17**, 63–76 (2016).

60.

Swanson, N. M., Vaughan, A. L. & Wilkinson, B. D. First-Year Seminars. *Journal of College Student Retention: Research, Theory & Practice* **18**, 386–400 (2017).

61.

Leask, B. Using Formal and Informal Curricula to Improve Interactions Between Home and International Students. *Journal of Studies in International Education* **13**, 205–221 (2009).

62.

Ottewill, R. & McFarlane, B. J. *Effective learning & teaching in business & management*. (Kogan Page, 2001).

63.

*A handbook for teaching and learning in higher education: enhancing academic practice*. (Routledge, 2014).

64.

Jaques, D. & Salmon, G. Learning in groups: a handbook for face-to-face and online environments. (Routledge, 2007).

65.

Hockings, C., Cooke, S., Yamashita, H., McGinty, S. & Bowl, M. Switched off? A study of disengagement among computing students at two universities. Research Papers in Education **23**, (2008).

66.

Fiechtner, S. B. & Davis, E. A. Republication of "Why some groups fail. Journal of Management Education **40**, 12–29 (2016).

67.

Boud, D., Cohen, R. & Sampson, J. Peer learning in higher education: learning from & with each other. (Kogan Page, 2001).

68.

Schneider, B. et al. Leveraging mobile eye-trackers to capture joint visual attention in co-located collaborative learning groups. International Journal of Computer-Supported Collaborative Learning **13**, 241–261 (2018).

69.

James, P. & Hudspeth, C. How Do You Take Learning Beyond the Classroom in an Interdisciplinary First-Year Seminar? New Directions for Teaching and Learning **2017**, 79–95 (2017).

70.

Baker, J. P., Goodboy, A. K., Bowman, N. D. & Wright, A. A. Does teaching with PowerPoint increase students' learning? A meta-analysis. Computers & Education **126**, 376–387 (2018).

71.

Lee, J., Lim, C. & Kim, H. Development of an instructional design model for flipped learning in higher education. *Educational Technology Research and Development* **65**, 427–453 (2017).

72.

Gross, M. M., Wright, M. C. & Anderson, O. S. Effects of image-based and text-based active learning exercises on student examination performance in a musculoskeletal anatomy course. *Anatomical Sciences Education* **10**, 444–455 (2017).

73.

Craig McMillan. From students to scientists: The impact of interactive engagement in lectures. *New Directions in the Teaching of Physical Sciences* (2018).

74.

Gunderman, R. Is the Lecture Dead? - The Atlantic. The Atlantic  
<http://www.theatlantic.com/health/archive/2013/01/is-the-lecture-dead/272578/>.

75.

Lambert, C. Twilight of the Lecture. *Harvard Magazine*.

76.

Bligh, D. A. What's the use of lectures? (Intellect, 1998).

77.

Heaslip, G., Donovan, P. & Cullen, J. G. Student response systems and learner engagement in large classes. *Active Learning in Higher Education* **15**, 11–24 (2014).

78.

Marshall, K. How to Work the Lecture Hall | Vitae.  
<https://chroniclevitae.com/news/970-how-to-work-the-lecture-hall>.

79.

Gibbs, G. Twenty terrible reasons for lecturing.  
<https://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/ocslid/resources/20reasons.html>.

80.

Trengove, E. Peer interaction as mechanism for providing timely and accessible feedback to a large undergraduate class. *International Journal of Electrical Engineering Education* **54**, 119–130 (2017).

81.

Jyoti Mahantesh Nagmoti. Departing from PowerPoint default mode: Applying Mayer's multimedia principles for enhanced learning of parasitology. *Indian Journal of Medical Microbiology* **35**, (2017).

82.

Issa, N. et al. Teaching for understanding in medical classrooms using multimedia design principles. *Medical Education* **47**, 388–396 (2013).

83.

Hong, S. & Yu, P. Comparison of the effectiveness of two styles of case-based learning implemented in lectures for developing nursing students' critical thinking ability: A randomized controlled trial. *International Journal of Nursing Studies* **68**, 16–24 (2017).

84.

Soneral, P. A. G. & Wyse, S. A. A SCALE-UP Mock-Up: Comparison of Student Learning Gains in High- and Low-Tech Active-Learning Environments. *CBE—Life Sciences Education* **16**, (2017).

85.

Foote, K., Knaub, A., Henderson, C., Dancy, M. & Beichner, R. J. Enabling and challenging factors in institutional reform: The case of SCALE-UP. *PHYSICAL REVIEW PHYSICS EDUCATION RESEARCH* **12**,.

86.

Thai, N. T. T., De Wever, B. & Valcke, M. The impact of a flipped classroom design on learning performance in higher education: Looking for the best "blend" of lectures and guiding questions with feedback. *Computers & Education* **107**, 113–126 (2017).

87.

Liu, C. et al. The Effects of Clickers With Different Teaching Strategies. *Journal of Educational Computing Research* **55**, 603–628 (2017).

88.

Fergusson, S. J. et al. Examining the impact of audience response systems on student performance in anatomy education: a randomised controlled trial. *Scottish Medical Journal* **63**, 16–21 (2018).

89.

Enhancing Diversity in Undergraduate Science: Self-Efficacy Drives Performance Gains with Active Learning | CBE—Life Sciences Education.

90.

Aricò, F. R. & Lancaster, S. J. Facilitating active learning and enhancing student self-assessment skills. *International Review of Economics Education* **29**, 6–13 (2018).

91.

Nielsen, K. L., Hansen, G. & Stav, J. B. Teaching with student response systems (SRS): teacher-centric aspects that can negatively affect students' experience of using SRS.

Research in Learning Technology **21**, (2013).

92.

Winton, L. M. et al. Does Self-Assessment Improve the Effectiveness of Grand Rounds Lectures in a Community-Based Teaching Hospital? *Journal of Surgical Education* **73**, 968–973 (2016).

93.

Shiozawa, T., Butz, B., Herlan, S., Kramer, A. & Hirt, B. Interactive anatomical and surgical live stream lectures improve students' academic performance in applied clinical anatomy. *Anatomical Sciences Education* **10**, 46–52 (2017).

94.

Simcock, D. C., Chua, W. H., Hekman, M., Levin, M. T. & Brown, S. A survey of first-year biology student opinions regarding live lectures and recorded lectures as learning tools. *Advances in Physiology Education* **41**, 69–76 (2017).

95.

Scott Cooper. Problem-Solving Modules in Large Introductory Biology Lectures. *The American Biology Teacher*  
doi:[http://dx.doi.org/10.1662/0002-7685\(2006\)68\[524:PMILIB\]2.0.CO;2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1662/0002-7685(2006)68[524:PMILIB]2.0.CO;2).

96.

Boud, D. & Molloy, E. Rethinking models of feedback for learning: the challenge of design. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education* **38**, 698–712 (2013).

97.

Ajjawi, R. & Boud, D. Examining the nature and effects of feedback dialogue. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education* 1–14 (2018) doi:10.1080/02602938.2018.1434128.

98.

Pitt, E. & Norton, L. 'Now that's the feedback I want!' Students' reactions to feedback on graded work and what they do with it. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education* **42**, 499–516 (2017).

99.

Zimbardi, K. et al. Are they using my feedback? The extent of students' feedback use has a large impact on subsequent academic performance. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education* **42**, 625–644 (2017).

100.

Forsythe, A. & Johnson, S. Thanks, but no-thanks for the feedback. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education* **42**, 850–859 (2017).

101.

Li, L. Y. 'Tell me what to do' vs. 'guide me through it': Feedback experiences of international doctoral students. *Active Learning in Higher Education* **12**, 101–112 (2011).

102.

Hughes, G. Ipsative assessment: motivation through marking progress. (Palgrave Macmillan, 2014).

103.

Susan Bloxham and Peter F Boyd. *Developing Effective Assessment in Higher Education : A Practical Guide*. (McGraw-Hill Education, 2007).

104.

Nicol, D., Thomson, A. & Breslin, C. Rethinking feedback practices in higher education: a peer review perspective. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education* **39**, 102–122 (2014).

105.

Orsmond, P., Maw, S. J., Park, J. R., Gomez, S. & Crook, A. C. Moving feedback forward: theory to practice. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education* **38**, 240–252 (2013).

106.

Denton, P. & McIlroy, D. Response of students to statement bank feedback: the impact of assessment literacy on performances in summative tasks. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education* **43**, 197–206 (2018).

107.

Sellbjer, S. "Have you read my comments? It is not noticeable. Change!" An analysis of feedback given to students who have failed examinations. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education* **43**, 163–174 (2018).

108.

Ackerman, D. S. & Gross, B. L. Instructor Feedback: How Much Do Students Really Want? *Journal of Marketing Education* **32**, 172–181 (2010).

109.

Carless, D. Differing perceptions in the feedback process. *Studies in Higher Education* **31**, 219–233 (2006).

110.

Orsmond, P. & Merry, S. Feedback alignment: effective and ineffective links between tutors' and students' understanding of coursework feedback. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education* **36**, 125–136 (2011).

111.

Glover, C. & Brown, E. Written Feedback for Students: too much, too detailed or too incomprehensible to be effective? *Bioscience Education* **7**, 1–16 (2006).



112.

Busse, V. How do students of German perceive feedback practices at university? A motivational exploration. *Journal of Second Language Writing* **22**, 406–424 (2013).

113.

Duijnhouwer, H., Prins, F. J. & Stokking, K. M. Feedback providing improvement strategies and reflection on feedback use: Effects on students' writing motivation, process, and performance. *Learning and Instruction* **22**, 171–184 (2012).

114.

Bryan, C. & Clegg, K. *Innovative assessment in higher education*. (Routledge, 2006).

115.

Broadbent, J., Panadero, E. & Boud, D. Implementing summative assessment with a formative flavour: a case study in a large class. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education* **43**, 307–322 (2018).

116.

Sadler, D. R. Beyond feedback: developing student capability in complex appraisal. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education* **35**, 535–550 (2010).

117.

Price, M., Handley, K., Millar, J. & O'Donovan, B. Feedback: all that effort, but what is the effect? *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education* **35**, 277–289 (2010).

118.

Setyonugroho, W., Kennedy, K. M. & Kropmans, T. J. B. Reliability and validity of OSCE checklists used to assess the communication skills of undergraduate medical students: A systematic review. *Patient Education and Counseling* **98**, 1482–1491 (2015).

119.

Yiend, J., Weller, S. & Kinchin, I. Peer observation of teaching: The interaction between peer review and developmental models of practice. *Journal of Further and Higher Education* **38**, 465–484 (2014).

120.

Körkkö, M., Kyrö-Ämmälä, O. & Turunen, T. Professional development through reflection in teacher education. *Teaching and Teacher Education* **55**, 198–206 (2016).

121.

Schon, D. A. *Educating the reflective practitioner* / Donald A. Schon. (1987).

122.

Leitch, R. & Day, C. Action research and reflective practice: towards a holistic view. *Educational Action Research* **8**, 179–193 (2000).

123.

*Reflective Practice in Geography Teaching*. (SAGE Publications, 2000).

124.

Hatton, N. & Smith, D. Reflection in teacher education: Towards definition and implementation. *Teaching and Teacher Education* **11**, 33–49 (1995).

125.

Leijen, Ä. et al. Guided Reflection for Supporting the Development of Student Teachers' Practical Knowledge. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences* **112**, 314–322 (2014).

126.

Potter, C. Leadership development: an applied comparison of Gibbs' Reflective Cycle and

Scharmer's Theory U. Industrial and Commercial Training **47**, 336–342 (2015).

127.

Larrivee, B. Transforming Teaching Practice: Becoming the critically reflective teacher. Reflective Practice **1**, 293–307 (2000).

128.

Toom, A., Husu, J. & Patrikainen, S. Student teachers' patterns of reflection in the context of teaching practice. European Journal of Teacher Education **38**, 320–340 (2015).

129.

Issa, N. et al. Teaching for understanding in medical classrooms using multimedia design principles. Medical Education **47**, 388–396 (2013).

130.

Jyoti Mahantesh Nagmoti. Departing from PowerPoint default mode: Applying Mayer's multimedia principles for enhanced learning of parasitology. Indian Journal of Medical Microbiology **35**, (2017).

131.

Mavromihales, M., Holmes, V. & Racasan, R. Game-based learning in mechanical engineering education: Case study of games-based learning application in computer aided design assembly. International Journal of Mechanical Engineering Education (2018) doi:10.1177/0306419018762571.

132.

HEW, K. F. & LO, C. K. Flipped classroom improves student learning in health professions education: a meta-analysis. BMC Medical Education **18**, (2018).

133.

Baepler, P., Walker, J. D. & Driessen, M. It's not about seat time: Blending, flipping, and efficiency in active learning classrooms. *Computers & Education* **78**, 227–236 (2014).

134.

Roach, T. Student perceptions toward flipped learning: New methods to increase interaction and active learning in economics. *International Review of Economics Education* **17**, 74–84 (2014).

135.

Chen, K.-S. et al. Academic outcomes of flipped classroom learning: a meta-analysis. *Medical Education* **52**, 910–924 (2018).

136.

Hu, R. et al. Effectiveness of flipped classrooms in Chinese baccalaureate nursing education: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *International Journal of Nursing Studies* **79**, 94–103 (2018).

137.

Issa, N. et al. Applying multimedia design principles enhances learning in medical education. *Medical Education* **45**, 818–826 (2011).

138.

Issa, N. et al. Teaching for understanding in medical classrooms using multimedia design principles. *Medical Education* **47**, 388–396 (2013).

139.

Renkl, A. & Scheiter, K. Studying Visual Displays: How to Instructionally Support Learning. *Educational Psychology Review* **29**, 599–621 (2017).

140.

Pickering, J. D. Anatomy drawing screencasts: Enabling flexible learning for medical students. *Anatomical Sciences Education* **8**, 249–257 (2015).

141.

Lau, K. H. V. Computer-based teaching module design: principles derived from learning theories. *Medical Education* **48**, 247–254 (2014).

142.

Graafland, M. et al. How to Systematically Assess Serious Games Applied to Health Care. *JMIR Serious Games* **2**, (2014).

143.

Graafland, M., Schraagen, J. M. & Schijven, M. P. Systematic review of serious games for medical education and surgical skills training. *British Journal of Surgery* **99**, 1322–1330 (2012).

144.

Germany, R., Mulligan, B. & Roberts, D. H. Infusing Theory into the Undergraduate Classics Curriculum: Examples from Haverford College's Senior Seminar, Translation and Transformation, and History of Literary Theory. *Classical World* **108**, 221–242 (2015).

145.

Chen, C.-M. & Wu, C.-H. Effects of different video lecture types on sustained attention, emotion, cognitive load, and learning performance. *Computers & Education* **80**, 108–121 (2015).

146.

Long, T., Logan, J. & Waugh, M. Students' Perceptions of the Value of Using Videos as a Pre-class Learning Experience in the Flipped Classroom. *TechTrends* **60**, 245–252 (2016).

147.

Michaelson, L. K. Designing Effective Group Activities: Lessons for Classroom Teaching and Faculty Development.  
<http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1384&context=podimprovement> (1997).

148.

Balslev, T. et al. Combining bimodal presentation schemes and buzz groups improves clinical reasoning and learning at morning report. *Medical Teacher* **37**, 759–766 (2015).

149.

Katyal, R. Enhancing student's learning by introducing various interactive teaching-learning methods in large group. *International journal of biomedical and advance research* (2016).

150.

Posel, N., McGee, J. B. & Fleiszer, D. M. Twelve tips to support the development of clinical reasoning skills using virtual patient cases. *Medical Teacher* **37**, 813–818 (2015).

151.

Cohen, D. A., Newman, L. R. & Fishman, L. N. Twelve tips on writing a discussion case that facilitates teaching and engages learners. *Medical Teacher* **39**, 147–152 (2017).

152.

Imai, P. H., Kresyman, S. & Asadoorian, J. Factors Influencing Dental Educators As They Develop Problem-Based Learning Cases. *Journal of Dental Education* **80**, 731–740 (2016).

153.

Wang, J., Ni, H., Rui, Y., Cui, C. & Cheng, L. A WebGIS-based teaching assistant system for geography field practice (TASGFP). *British Journal of Educational Technology* **47**, 279–293 (2016).

154.

Pawson, E. et al. Problem-based Learning in Geography: Towards a Critical Assessment of its Purposes, Benefits and Risks. *Journal of Geography in Higher Education* **30**, 103–116 (2006).

155.

Pickering, J. D. & Joynes, V. C. T. A holistic model for evaluating the impact of individual technology-enhanced learning resources. *Medical Teacher* **38**, 1242–1247 (2016).

156.

Duffy, R. M., Guerandel, A., Casey, P., Malone, K. & Kelly, B. D. Experiences of Using Prezi in Psychiatry Teaching. *Academic Psychiatry* **39**, 615–619 (2015).

157.

Kirsten Zimbardi. Using Inquiry-based Practicals to Promote Students' Critical Evaluation of the Scientific Literature and Maturation of their Understanding of the Nature of Scientific Knowledge. *International Journal of Innovation in Science and Mathematics Education (formerly CAL-laborate International)* **23**, (2016).

158.

Alexandra Yeung. Invigorating science practicals using an inquiry orientated pedagogical tool. *Proceedings of The Australian Conference on Science and Mathematics Education (formerly UniServe Science Conference)* (2015).

159.

Reid, S., Shapiro, L. & Louw, G. How Haptics and Drawing Enhance the Learning of Anatomy. *Anatomical Sciences Education* (2018) doi:10.1002/ase.1807.

160.

Cho, D., Cosimini, M. & Espinoza, J. Podcasting in medical education: a review of the

literature. Korean Journal of Medical Education **29**, 229–239 (2017).

161.

Davies, C. Learning and Teaching in Laboratories: An Engineering Subject Centre Guide. (2008).

162.

Kreber, C. The university and its disciplines: teaching and learning within and beyond disciplinary boundaries. (Routledge, 2008).

163.

Becher, T. Academic tribes and territories: intellectual enquiry and the cultures of disciplines. (Society for Research into Higher Education, 1989).

164.

Shulman, L. S. Signature pedagogies in the professions. Daedalus **134**, 52–59 (2005).

165.

Gurung, R. A. R., Chick, N. L. & Haynie, A. Exploring signature pedagogies: approaches to teaching disciplinary habits of mind. (Stylus, 2009).

166.

Nancy L. Chick, Aeron Haynie, & Regan A. R. Gurung. Exploring more signature pedagogies . (Stylus Pub., 2012).

167.

Middendorf, J. & Pace, D. Decoding the disciplines: A model for helping students learn disciplinary ways of thinking. New Directions for Teaching and Learning **2004**, 1–12 (2004).



168.

Jessop, T. & Maleckar, B. The influence of disciplinary assessment patterns on student learning: a comparative study. *Studies in Higher Education* **41**, 696–711 (2016).

169.

Threshold concepts in practice. vol. volume 68 (Sense Publishers, 2016).

170.

Ottewill, R. & McFarlane, B. J. *Effective learning & teaching in business & management*. (Kogan Page, 2001).

171.

Martensson, P., Bild, M. & Nilsson, K. *Teaching and learning at business schools: transforming business education*. (Gower, 2008).

172.

Findlay-Thompson, Sandi. *Evaluation of a Flipped Classroom in an Undergraduate Business Course*.

173.

Eckmann, J. *Law School Teaching: Linking Learning with Law Practice*. *Legal education review* 257–268 (2004).

174.

Burridge, R. & Institute for Learning and Teaching in Higher Education (Great Britain). *Effective learning & teaching in law*. (Kogan Page, 2002).

175.

Academic learning in law: theoretical positions, teaching experiments and learning experiences. (Edward Elgar Publishing, 2016).

176.

Bamford, D. Learning the 'How' of the Law: Teaching Procedure and Legal Education. Osgoode Hall law journal (1960) (10AD).

177.

Knights, B. Intelligence and Interrogation: The identity of the English student. Arts and Humanities in Higher Education **4**, 33–52 (2005).

178.

Arts and Humanities in Higher Education. doi:10.1177/1474022216628303#.

179.

Alder, E. Becoming a student of English: Students' experiences of transition into the first year. Arts and Humanities in Higher Education **17**, 185–203 (2018).

180.

Milthorpe, N., Clarke, R., Fletcher, L., Moore, R. & Stark, H. Blended English: Technology-enhanced teaching and learning in English literary studies. Arts and Humanities in Higher Education **17**, 345–365 (2018).

181.

Cunningham, C. Teaching and learning French – A tale of desire in the humanities. Arts and Humanities in Higher Education **16**, 127–140 (2017).

182.

Dörnyei, Z. & Malderez, A. Group dynamics and foreign language teaching. System **25**, 65–81 (1997).

183.

Effective Learning and Teaching in Modern Languages. (Taylor & Francis Group, 2004).

184.

Calder, L. Uncoverage: Toward a Signature Pedagogy for the History Survey. *Journal of American History* **92**, 1358–1370 (2006).

185.

Gannon, K. Getting Medieval with Team-Based Learning â™™ The Tattooed Professor. <http://www.thetattooedprof.com/archives/449>.

186.

Middendorf, J. et al. What's feeling got to do with it? Decoding emotional bottlenecks in the history classroom. *Arts and Humanities in Higher Education* **14**, 166–180 (2015).

187.

Booth, A. & Ludvigsson, D. Tuning history. *Arts and Humanities in Higher Education* (2017) doi:10.1177/1474022216686507.

188.

Geoff Timmins, Keith Vernon, and Christine Kinealy. *Teaching and Learning History*. (SAGE Publications, 2009).

189.

Alan Booth. *Teaching History at University : Enhancing Learning and Understanding*. (Routledge, 2013).

190.

Fyfe, A. Uncomfortable departments: British historians of science and the importance of disciplinary communities. *Arts and Humanities in Higher Education* **14**, 194–205 (2015).

191.

Poletti, A., Seaboyer, J., Kennedy, R., Barnett, T. & Douglas, K. The affects of not reading: Hating characters, being bored, feeling stupid. *Arts and Humanities in Higher Education* **15**, 231–247 (2016).

192.

*Reflective Practice in Geography Teaching*. (SAGE Publications, 2000).

193.

Kinchin, I. M. & Francis, R. A. Mapping pedagogic frailty in geography education: a framed autoethnographic case study. *Journal of Geography in Higher Education* **41**, 56–74 (2017).

194.

Pawson, E. et al. Problem-based Learning in Geography: Towards a Critical Assessment of its Purposes, Benefits and Risks. *Journal of Geography in Higher Education* **30**, 103–116 (2006).

195.

*Journal of Geography in Higher Education*: Vol 42, No 1.

196.

Kahn, P. B., Kyle, J., & Institute for Learning and Teaching in Higher Education (Great Britain). *Effective learning and teaching in mathematics and its applications*. (Kogan Page, 2002).

197.

Lo, C. K., Hew, K. F. & Chen, G. Toward a set of design principles for mathematics flipped classrooms: A synthesis of research in mathematics education. *Educational Research Review* **22**, 50–73 (2017).

198.

HERSAM, M. C., LUNA, M. & LIGHT, G. Implementation of Interdisciplinary Group Learning and Peer Assessment in a Nanotechnology Engineering Course. *Journal of Engineering Education* **93**, 49–57 (2004).

199.

Holmes, N. G. & Wieman, C. E. Introductory physics labs: We can do better. *Physics Today* **71**, 38–45 (2018).

200.

Arthur, P., Ludwig, M., Castelli, J., Kirkwood, P. & Attwood, P. Prepare, Do, Review: A skills-based approach for laboratory practical classes in biochemistry and molecular biology. *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Education* **44**, 276–287 (2016).

201.

Cooper, M. M. & Stowe, R. L. Chemistry Education Research—From Personal Empiricism to Evidence, Theory, and Informed Practice. *Chemical Reviews* **118**, 6053–6087 (2018).

202.

Bill Lucas. Thinking Like an Engineer: Using Engineering Habits of Mind and Signature Pedagogies to Redesign Engineering Education. *International Journal of Engineering Pedagogy (ijEP)* vol. 6 4–13 (2016).

203.

Dolan, E. L. Undergraduate research as curriculum. *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Education* **45**, 293–298 (2017).

204.

Santas, A. J. Reciprocity within biochemistry and biology service-learning. *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Education* **37**, 143–151 (2009).

205.

Young, J. M. & Shepardson, D. P. Using Q methodology to investigate undergraduate students' attitudes toward the geosciences. *Science Education* **102**, 195–214 (2018).

206.

Hanh, N. V. & Hop, N. H. The effectiveness of the industrial field trip in introduction to engineering: A case study at Hung Yen University of Technology and Education, Vietnam. *International Journal of Electrical Engineering Education* (2018)  
doi:10.1177/0020720918773050.

207.

Rubner, G. First-year undergraduate teaching of electrical and electronic engineering: innovation and inspiration. *International Journal of Electrical Engineering Education* **54**, 281–282 (2017).

208.

Cavalcanti, J. et al. A real-time web-based networked control system education platform. *International Journal of Electrical Engineering Education* **55**, 130–141 (2018).

209.

Twigg, P., Ponnappalli, P. & Fowler, M. Workshop problem-solving for improved student engagement and increased learning in Engineering Control. *International Journal of Electrical Engineering Education* **55**, 120–129 (2018).

210.

Coppens, P., Van den Bossche, J. & De Cock, M. Student understanding of phase shifts, frequency and Bode plots. *International Journal of Electrical Engineering Education* **54**, 247–261 (2017).

211.

Judge, M. Large-scale Laboratory Teaching for 1st Year EEE Undergraduates. *International Journal of Electrical Engineering Education* **54**, 164–177 (2017).

212.

Mavromihales, M., Holmes, V. & Racasan, R. Game-based learning in mechanical engineering education: Case study of games-based learning application in computer aided design assembly. *International Journal of Mechanical Engineering Education* (2018) doi:10.1177/0306419018762571.

213.

Heywood, J. *The assessment of learning in engineering education: practice and policy*. (IEEE Press, 2016).

214.

Odeh, S., McKenna, S. & Abu-Mulaweh, H. A unified first-year engineering design-based learning course. *International Journal of Mechanical Engineering Education* **45**, 47–58 (2017).

215.

Tian, Z. F. Teaching and enhancement of critical thinking skills for undergraduate students in a computational fluid dynamics course. *International Journal of Mechanical Engineering Education* **45**, 76–88 (2017).

216.

Mariasiu, F. & Raboca, H. M. Assessment of extracurricular activities' effects on automotive engineering education: A cross-national study. *International Journal of Mechanical Engineering Education* **45**, 120–141 (2017).

217.

Kim, M., Diong, C. H., & ProQuest (Firm). Biology education for social and sustainable development. (Sense Publishers, 2012).

218.

Letchford, J., Corradi, H. & Day, T. A flexible e-learning resource promoting the critical reading of scientific papers for science undergraduates. *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Education* **45**, 483–490 (2017).

219.

Cubas Rolim, E. et al. Blog construction as an effective tool in biochemistry active learning. *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Education* **45**, 205–215 (2017).

220.

Goff, E. E. et al. Variation in external representations as part of the classroom lecture: An investigation of virtual cell animations in introductory photosynthesis instruction\*. *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Education* **45**, 226–234 (2017).

221.

Eberlein, T. et al. Pedagogies of engagement in science. *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Education* **36**, 262–273 (2008).

222.

Thaman, R., Dillon, S., Saggar, S., Gupta, M. & Kaur, H. Promoting active learning in respiratory physiology – Positive student perception and improved outcomes. *National Journal of Physiology, Pharmacy and Pharmacology* **3**, 27–34 (2013).

223.

Cantillon, P. & Wood, D. ABC of learning and teaching in medicine. (Wiley-Blackwell, 2010).

224.



McKimm, J. & Swanick, T. Clinical teaching made easy: a practical guide to teaching and learning in clinical settings. (Quay, 2010).

225.

Bleakley, A. Pre-registration house officers and ward-based learning: a 'new apprenticeship' model. Medical Education **36**, 9–15 (2002).

226.

Egan, T. & Jaye, C. Communities of clinical practice: the social organization of clinical learning. Health: **13**, 107–125 (2009).

227.

Launer, J. Managing the threat to reflective writing. Postgraduate Medical Journal **94**, 314–315 (2018).

228.

Birden, H. et al. Teaching professionalism in medical education: A Best Evidence Medical Education (BEME) systematic review. BEME Guide No. 25. Medical Teacher **35**, e1252–e1266 (2013).

229.

Rivière, E., Saucier, D., Lafleur, A., Lacasse, M. & Chiniara, G. Twelve tips for efficient procedural simulation. Medical Teacher 1–9 (2017) doi:10.1080/0142159X.2017.1391375.

230.

Losco, C. D., Grant, W. D., Armson, A., Meyer, A. J. & Walker, B. F. Effective methods of teaching and learning in anatomy as a basic science: A BEME systematic review: BEME guide no. 44. Medical Teacher **39**, 234–243 (2017).

231.

Ellaway, R. H. et al. A critical hybrid realist-outcomes systematic review of relationships between medical education programmes and communities: BEME Guide No. 35. *Medical Teacher* **38**, 229–245 (2016).

232.

Park, S. et al. A BEME systematic review of UK undergraduate medical education in the general practice setting: BEME Guide No. 32. *Medical Teacher* **37**, 611–630 (2015).

233.

Motola, I., Devine, L. A., Chung, H. S., Sullivan, J. E. & Issenberg, S. B. Simulation in healthcare education: A best evidence practical guide. *AMEE Guide No. 82. Medical Teacher* **35**, e1511–e1530 (2013).

234.

Fatmi, M., Hartling, L., Hillier, T., Campbell, S. & Oswald, A. E. The effectiveness of team-based learning on learning outcomes in health professions education: BEME Guide No. 30. *Medical Teacher* **35**, e1608–e1624 (2013).

235.

Braeckman, L., 't Kint, L., Bekaert, M., Cobbaut, L. & Janssens, H. Comparison of two case-based learning conditions with real patients in teaching occupational medicine. *Medical Teacher* **36**, 340–346 (2014).

236.

Merritt, C., Munzer, B. W., Wolff, M. & Santen, S. A. Not Another Bedside Lecture: Active Learning Techniques for Clinical Instruction. *AEM Education and Training* **2**, 48–50 (2018).

237.

Mac Giolla Phadraig, C., Nunn, J. H., Tornsey, O. & Timms, M. Does Special Care Dentistry undergraduate teaching improve dental student attitudes towards people with disabilities? *European Journal of Dental Education* **19**, 107–112 (2015).

238.

Cameron, D. A., Binnie, V. I., Sherriff, A. & Bissell, V. Peer assisted learning: teaching dental skills and enhancing graduate attributes. *British Dental Journal* **219**, 267–272 (2015).

239.

Beveridge, E. Learning from patients. *BMJ* **334**, s83.2-s84 (2007).

240.

Gamble Blakey, A. & Golding, C. 'Of Course They're Bloody Scared!' Managing Medical Student Fear to Better Cultivate Thinking. *Medical Science Educator* **28**, 165–173 (2018).