

ADP7117 & ADP7217: Learning & Teaching in the Discipline

View Online



1.

QMUL Graduate Attributes. Published online 2009.
<https://www.qmul.ac.uk/docs/gacep/38598.pdf>

2.

Student Experience, Teaching, Learning and Assessment Strategy (SETLA) 2014 - the next five years. Published online 2014.
<http://www.arcs.qmul.ac.uk/media/arcs/policyzone/academic/SETLA-Strategy.pdf>

3.

TEF Year Two provider submission.
https://academicdevelopment.qmul.ac.uk/wp-content/uploads/2017/08/QMTEFYearTwoSubmission_10007775-1.pdf

4.

Kalfa S, Taksa L. Cultural capital in business higher education: reconsidering the graduate attributes movement and the focus on employability. *Studies in Higher Education*. 2015;40(4):580-595. doi:10.1080/03075079.2013.842210

5.

Clarke M. Rethinking graduate employability: the role of capital, individual attributes and context. *Studies in Higher Education*. Published online 23 February 2017:1-15.
doi:10.1080/03075079.2017.1294152

6.

Quinlan KM. Developing student character through disciplinary curricula: an analysis of UK QAA subject benchmark statements. *Studies in Higher Education*. 2016;41(6):1041-1054. doi:10.1080/03075079.2014.966069

7.

Artess, Jane. Employability: A review of the literature 2012-2016. Published online 2017. <http://derby.openrepository.com/derby/handle/10545/621285>

8.

Gourlay L, Stevenson J. Teaching excellence in higher education: critical perspectives. *Teaching in Higher Education*. 2017;22(4):391-395. doi:10.1080/13562517.2017.1304632

9.

Jackson D. Business Undergraduates' Perceptions of Their Capabilities in Employability Skills. *Industry and Higher Education*. 2012;26(5):345-356. doi:10.5367/ihe.2012.0117

10.

Hill J, Walkington H, France D. Graduate attributes: implications for higher education practice and policy. *Journal of Geography in Higher Education*. 2016;40(2):155-163. doi:10.1080/03098265.2016.1154932

11.

de la Harpe B, David C. Major influences on the teaching and assessment of graduate attributes. *Higher Education Research & Development*. 2012;31(4):493-510. doi:10.1080/07294360.2011.629361

12.

Mearman A, Guizzo D, Berger S. Is UK economics teaching changing? Evaluating the new subject benchmark statement. *Review of Social Economy*. 2018;76(3):377-396. doi:10.1080/00346764.2018.1463447

13.

Shahid Yusuf and Kaoru Nabeshima. How Universities Promote Economic Growth. World Bank Publications; 2006.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gmul-ebooks/detail.action?docID=459914>

14.

Bowl M, Hughes J. Fair access and fee setting in English universities: what do institutional statements suggest about university strategies in a stratified quasi-market? *Studies in Higher Education*. 2016;41(2):269-287. doi:10.1080/03075079.2014.927846

15.

Marginson S. Public/private in higher education: a synthesis of economic and political approaches. *Studies in Higher Education*. 2018;43(2):322-337.

doi:10.1080/03075079.2016.1168797

16.

Troschitz R, EBSCOhost. Higher Education and the Student: From Welfare State to Neoliberalism. Routledge; 2017.

<http://catalogue.library.qmul.ac.uk/uhtbin/ezproxy.pl?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&scope=site&db=nlebk&db=nlabk&AN=1517263>

17.

Patrick Alan Danaher and Kalwant Bhopal. Identity and Pedagogy in Higher Education : International Comparisons. 1st ed. Bloomsbury Publishing PLC; 2013.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gmul-ebooks/detail.action?docID=1123365>

18.

Becher T. Academic Tribes and Territories: Intellectual Enquiry and the Cultures of Disciplines. Society for Research into Higher Education; 1989.

19.

Kreber C. *The University and Its Disciplines: Teaching and Learning within and beyond Disciplinary Boundaries*. Routledge; 2008.
<http://ezproxy.library.qmul.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=QMUL&isbn=9780203892596&uid=^u>

20.

Land R, Meyer JHF, Flanagan MT, eds. *Threshold Concepts in Practice*. Vol volume 68. Sense Publishers; 2016.

21.

Gurung RAR, Chick NL, Haynie A. *Exploring Signature Pedagogies: Approaches to Teaching Disciplinary Habits of Mind*. 1st ed. Stylus; 2009.
<http://catalogue.library.qmul.ac.uk/uhtbin/ezproxy.pl?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&scope=site&db=nlebk&db=nlabk&AN=253660>

22.

Shulman LS. Signature pedagogies in the professions. *Daedalus*. 2005;134(3):52-59.
doi:10.1162/0011526054622015

23.

What are threshold concepts and how can they inform medical education? - PubMed - NCBI. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26609736>

24.

Neve H, Wearn A, Collett T. What are threshold concepts and how can they inform medical education? *Medical Teacher*. 2016;38(8):850-853. doi:10.3109/0142159X.2015.1112889

25.

Bhat C, Burm S, Mohan T, Chahine S, Goldszmidt M. What trainees grapple with: a study of

threshold concepts on the medicine ward. *Medical Education*. 2018;52(6):620-631.
doi:10.1111/medu.13526

26.

Braine ME, Parnell J. Exploring student's perceptions and experience of personal tutors. *Nurse Education Today*. 2011;31(8):904-910. doi:10.1016/j.nedt.2011.01.005

27.

Teasley ML, Buchanan EM. Capturing the Student Perspective: A New Instrument for Measuring Advising Satisfaction. *NACADA Journal*. 2013;33(2):4-15.
doi:10.12930/NACADA-12-132

28.

McAllister M, Wynaden D, Happell B, et al. Staff experiences of providing support to students who are managing mental health challenges: A qualitative study from two Australian universities. *Advances in Mental Health*. 2014;12(3):192-201.
doi:10.1080/18374905.2014.11081897

29.

Building student engagement and belonging in higher education at a time of change: a summary of findings and recommendations from the What works? Student Retention & Success programme | Higher Education Academy.
<https://www.heacademy.ac.uk/resource/building-student-engagement-and-belonging-higher-education-time-change-summary-findings-and>

30.

Swecker HK, Fifolt M, Searby L. Academic Advising and First-Generation College Students: A Quantitative Study on Student Retention. *NACADA Journal*. 2013;33(1):46-53.
doi:10.12930/NACADA-13-192

31.

Kyra L. Sutton. Student Satisfaction with Information Provided by Academic Advisors. *Journal of STEM Education: Innovations and Research*. 2011;12(7).

<http://jstem.org/index.php/JSTEM/article/view/1734>

32.

Weintraub DS, Sax LJ. The Relationship Between Student–Parent Communication and First-Year Academic Performance. *NACADA Journal*. 2018;38(1):61-76. doi:10.12930/NACADA-16-045

33.

Lee JA. Affirmation, Support, and Advocacy: Critical Race Theory and Academic Advising. *NACADA Journal*. 2018;38(1):77-87. doi:10.12930/NACADA-17-028

34.

Wisker G, Exley K, Antoniou M. *Working One-to-One with Students: Supervising, Coaching, Mentoring, and Personal Tutoring*. Routledge; 2008.

35.

Junco R, Mastrodicasa JM, Aguiar AV, Longnecker EM, Rokkum JN. Impact of Technology-Mediated Communication on Student Evaluations of Advising. *NACADA Journal*. 2016;36(2):54-66. doi:10.12930/NACADA-16-014

36.

Puoway AW. Critical Advising: A Freirian-Inspired Approach. *NACADA Journal*. 2016;36(2):4-10. doi:10.12930/NACADA-15-015

37.

Musser T, St. Pierre T, Wilson D, Schwartz M. Experiences of Male Undergraduates That Lead to Academic Failure. *NACADA Journal*. 2017;37(1):87-98. doi:10.12930/NACADA-15-037

38.

Morgan M. The evolution of student services in the UK. Perspectives: Policy and Practice in Higher Education. Published online 27 February 2012:1-8.
doi:10.1080/13603108.2011.652990

39.

Race P. Making Personal Tutoring Work.
<http://eprints.leedsbeckett.ac.uk/2817/1/100705.7240.LoRes.pdf>

40.

Ronald Barnett. Will to Learn : Being a Student in an Age of Uncertainty. McGraw-Hill Education; 2007.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gmul-ebooks/detail.action?docID=332672>

41.

Stephen DE, O'Connell P, Hall M. 'Going the extra mile', 'fire-fighting', or laissez-faire? Re-evaluating personal tutoring relationships within mass higher education. Teaching in Higher Education. 2008;13(4):449-460. doi:10.1080/13562510802169749

42.

Myers J. Why support students? Using the past to understand the present. Higher Education Research & Development. 2013;32(4):590-602.
doi:10.1080/07294360.2012.700509

43.

Millennial Students: Rethinking Time Management.
<http://www.nacada.ksu.edu/Resources/Academic-Advising-Today/View-Articles/Millennial-Students-Rethinking-Time-Management.aspx>

44.

Common Factors: A Meta-Model of Academic Advising.
<http://www.nacada.ksu.edu/Resources/Academic-Advising-Today/View-Articles/Common-Factors-A-Meta-Model-of-Academic-Advising.aspx>

45.

Pat Folsom, Franklin Yoder, and Jennifer E. Joslin. *The New Advisor Guidebook : Mastering the Art of Academic Advising*. 2nd ed. John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated; 2015.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gmul-ebooks/detail.action?docID=4038953>

46.

Iatrellis O, Kameas A, Fitsilis P. Academic Advising Systems: A Systematic Literature Review of Empirical Evidence. *Education Sciences*. 2017;7(4). doi:10.3390/educsci7040090

47.

Braun J, Zolfagharian M. Student Participation in Academic Advising: Propensity, Behavior, Attribution and Satisfaction. *Research in Higher Education*. 2016;57(8):968-989.
doi:10.1007/s11162-016-9414-2

48.

McGill CM. "Cultivating Ways of Thinking": The Developmental Teaching Perspective in Academic Advising. *New Horizons in Adult Education and Human Resource Development*. 2016;28(1):50-54. doi:10.1002/nha3.20131

49.

Spencer J. Small group teaching. *The Clinical Teacher*. 2009;6(1):56-58.
doi:10.1111/j.1743-498X.2008.00265.x

50.

Student perceptions of effective small group teaching. *Medical education*. Published online 3AD.
<http://ezproxy.library.qmul.ac.uk/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edsbl&AN=RN145831660&site=eds-live>

51.

Laru J, Näykki P, Järvelä S. Supporting small-group learning using multiple Web 2.0 tools: A case study in the higher education context. *The Internet and Higher Education*. 2012;15(1):29-38. doi:10.1016/j.iheduc.2011.08.004

52.

Mills D, Alexander P. *Small-Group Teaching: A Toolkit for Learning*. Published online 2013. https://s3.eu-west-2.amazonaws.com/assets.creode.advancehe-document-manager/documents/hea/private/resources/small_group_teaching_1_1568036632.pdf

53.

Jaques D, Salmon G. *Learning in Groups: A Handbook for Face-to-Face and Online Environments*. 4th ed. Routledge; 2007. <http://catdir.loc.gov/catdir/toc/ecip0616/2006021872.html>

54.

Swanson E, McCulley LV, Osman DJ, Scammacca Lewis N, Solis M. The effect of team-based learning on content knowledge: A meta-analysis. *Active Learning in Higher Education*. Published online 21 September 2017. doi:10.1177/1469787417731201

55.

Kate Exley and Reg Dennick. *Small Group Teaching : Tutorials, Seminars and Beyond*. 1st ed. Routledge; 2004. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gmul-ebooks/detail.action?docID=181936>

56.

Esi M. Small group teaching. *BMJ*. Published online 17 November 2010. doi:10.1136/bmj.c6402

57.

Yap R, Moreira A, Wilkins S, Reeves F, Levinson M, McMurrick P. Suturing in Small Group Teaching Settings: a Modification to Peyton's Four-Step Approach. *Medical Science Educator*. 2016;26(4):575-580. doi:10.1007/s40670-016-0296-x

58.

Moore F. Peer-led small groups: Are we on the right track? Perspectives on Medical Education. 2017;6(5):325-330. doi:10.1007/s40037-017-0370-0

59.

Hardman J. Tutor-student interaction in seminar teaching: Implications for professional development. Active Learning in Higher Education. 2016;17(1):63-76.
doi:10.1177/1469787415616728

60.

Swanson NM, Vaughan AL, Wilkinson BD. First-Year Seminars. Journal of College Student Retention: Research, Theory & Practice. 2017;18(4):386-400.
doi:10.1177/1521025115604811

61.

Leask B. Using Formal and Informal Curricula to Improve Interactions Between Home and International Students. Journal of Studies in International Education. 2009;13(2):205-221.
doi:10.1177/1028315308329786

62.

Ottewill R, McFarlane BJ. Effective Learning & Teaching in Business & Management. Kogan Page; 2001.

63.

Fry H, Ketteridge S, Marshall S, eds. A Handbook for Teaching and Learning in Higher Education: Enhancing Academic Practice. Fourth edition. Routledge; 2014.
<http://ezproxy.library.qmul.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=QMUL&isbn=9781315763088&uid=^u>

64.

Jaques D, Salmon G. Learning in Groups: A Handbook for Face-to-Face and Online Environments. 4th ed. Routledge; 2007.

<http://catdir.loc.gov/catdir/toc/ecip0616/2006021872.html>

65.

Hockings C, Cooke S, Yamashita H, McGinty S, Bowl M. Switched off? A study of disengagement among computing students at two universities. *Research Papers in Education*. 2008;23(2). http://www2.wlv.ac.uk/celt/Projects/RPiEAcademic_Feb08.pdf

66.

Fiechtner SB, Davis EA. Republication of "Why some groups fail. *Journal of Management Education*. 2016;40(1):12-29. doi:10.1177/1052562915619639

67.

Boud D, Cohen R, Sampson J. Peer Learning in Higher Education: Learning from & with Each Other. Kogan Page; 2001.

68.

Schneider B, Sharma K, Cuendet S, Zufferey G, Dillenbourg P, Pea R. Leveraging mobile eye-trackers to capture joint visual attention in co-located collaborative learning groups. *International Journal of Computer-Supported Collaborative Learning*. 2018;13(3):241-261. doi:10.1007/s11412-018-9281-2

69.

James P, Hudspeth C. How Do You Take Learning Beyond the Classroom in an Interdisciplinary First-Year Seminar? *New Directions for Teaching and Learning*. 2017;2017(151):79-95. doi:10.1002/tl.20250

70.

Baker JP, Goodboy AK, Bowman ND, Wright AA. Does teaching with PowerPoint increase students' learning? A meta-analysis. *Computers & Education*. 2018;126:376-387. doi:10.1016/j.compedu.2018.08.003

71.

Lee J, Lim C, Kim H. Development of an instructional design model for flipped learning in higher education. *Educational Technology Research and Development*. 2017;65(2):427-453. doi:10.1007/s11423-016-9502-1

72.

Gross MM, Wright MC, Anderson OS. Effects of image-based and text-based active learning exercises on student examination performance in a musculoskeletal anatomy course. *Anatomical Sciences Education*. 2017;10(5):444-455. doi:10.1002/ase.1684

73.

Craig McMillan. From students to scientists: The impact of interactive engagement in lectures. *New Directions in the Teaching of Physical Sciences*. 2018;(13).
<https://journals.le.ac.uk/ojs1/index.php/new-directions/article/view/2425/2432>

74.

Gunderman R. Is the Lecture Dead? - The Atlantic. The Atlantic.
<http://www.theatlantic.com/health/archive/2013/01/is-the-lecture-dead/272578/>

75.

Lambert C. Twilight of the Lecture. *Harvard Magazine*.
<http://harvardmagazine.com/2012/03/twilight-of-the-lecture>

76.

Bligh DA. *What's the Use of Lectures?* 5th ed. Intellect; 1998.

77.

Heaslip G, Donovan P, Cullen JG. Student response systems and learner engagement in large classes. *Active Learning in Higher Education*. 2014;15(1):11-24.
doi:10.1177/1469787413514648

78.

Marshall K. How to Work the Lecture Hall | Vitae.
<https://chroniclevitae.com/news/970-how-to-work-the-lecture-hall>

79.

Gibbs G. Twenty terrible reasons for lecturing.
<https://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/ocslid/resources/20reasons.html>

80.

Trengove E. Peer interaction as mechanism for providing timely and accessible feedback to a large undergraduate class. *International Journal of Electrical Engineering Education*. 2017;54(2):119-130. doi:10.1177/0020720916688486

81.

Jyoti Mahantesh Nagmoti. Departing from PowerPoint default mode: Applying Mayer's multimedia principles for enhanced learning of parasitology. *Indian Journal of Medical Microbiology*. 2017;35(2). doi:10.4103/ijmm.IJMM_16_251

82.

Issa N, Mayer RE, Schuller M, Wang E, Shapiro MB, DaRosa DA. Teaching for understanding in medical classrooms using multimedia design principles. *Medical Education*. 2013;47(4):388-396. doi:10.1111/medu.12127

83.

Hong S, Yu P. Comparison of the effectiveness of two styles of case-based learning implemented in lectures for developing nursing students' critical thinking ability: A randomized controlled trial. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*. 2017;68:16-24. doi:10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2016.12.008

84.

Soner PAG, Wyse SA. A SCALE-UP Mock-Up: Comparison of Student Learning Gains in High- and Low-Tech Active-Learning Environments. CBE—Life Sciences Education. 2017;16(1). doi:10.1187/cbe.16-07-0228

85.

Foote K, Knaub A, Henderson C, Dancy M, Beichner RJ. Enabling and challenging factors in institutional reform: The case of SCALE-UP. PHYSICAL REVIEW PHYSICS EDUCATION RESEARCH. 12.
<https://journals.aps.org/prper/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevPhysEducRes.12.010103#fulltext>

86.

Thai NTT, De Wever B, Valcke M. The impact of a flipped classroom design on learning performance in higher education: Looking for the best "blend" of lectures and guiding questions with feedback. Computers & Education. 2017;107:113-126.
doi:10.1016/j.compedu.2017.01.003

87.

Liu C, Chen S, Chi C, Chien KP, Liu Y, Chou TL. The Effects of Clickers With Different Teaching Strategies. Journal of Educational Computing Research. 2017;55(5):603-628.
doi:10.1177/0735633116674213

88.

Fergusson SJ, Aka JJ, Hennessy CM, et al. Examining the impact of audience response systems on student performance in anatomy education: a randomised controlled trial. Scottish Medical Journal. 2018;63(1):16-21. doi:10.1177/0036933017741409

89.

Enhancing Diversity in Undergraduate Science: Self-Efficacy Drives Performance Gains with Active Learning | CBE—Life Sciences Education.
<https://www.lifescied.org/doi/abs/10.1187/cbe.16-12-0344>

90.

Aricò FR, Lancaster SJ. Facilitating active learning and enhancing student self-assessment skills. *International Review of Economics Education*. 2018;29:6-13.
doi:10.1016/j.iree.2018.06.002

91.

Nielsen KL, Hansen G, Stav JB. Teaching with student response systems (SRS): teacher-centric aspects that can negatively affect students' experience of using SRS. *Research in Learning Technology*. 2013;21. doi:10.3402/rlt.v21i0.18989

92.

Winton LM, Ferguson EMN, Hsu CH, et al. Does Self-Assessment Improve the Effectiveness of Grand Rounds Lectures in a Community-Based Teaching Hospital? *Journal of Surgical Education*. 2016;73(6):968-973. doi:10.1016/j.jsurg.2016.04.014

93.

Shiozawa T, Butz B, Herlan S, Kramer A, Hirt B. Interactive anatomical and surgical live stream lectures improve students' academic performance in applied clinical anatomy. *Anatomical Sciences Education*. 2017;10(1):46-52. doi:10.1002/ase.1623

94.

Simcock DC, Chua WH, Hekman M, Levin MT, Brown S. A survey of first-year biology student opinions regarding live lectures and recorded lectures as learning tools. *Advances in Physiology Education*. 2017;41(1):69-76. doi:10.1152/advan.00117.2016

95.

Scott Cooper. Problem-Solving Modules in Large Introductory Biology Lectures. *The American Biology Teacher*.
doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1662/0002-7685(2006)68[524:PMILIB]2.0.CO;2

96.

Boud D, Molloy E. Rethinking models of feedback for learning: the challenge of design. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*. 2013;38(6):698-712.
doi:10.1080/02602938.2012.691462

97.

Ajjawi R, Boud D. Examining the nature and effects of feedback dialogue. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*. Published online 2 February 2018:1-14.
doi:10.1080/02602938.2018.1434128

98.

Pitt E, Norton L. 'Now that's the feedback I want!' Students' reactions to feedback on graded work and what they do with it. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*. 2017;42(4):499-516. doi:10.1080/02602938.2016.1142500

99.

Zimbardi K, Colthorpe K, Dekker A, et al. Are they using my feedback? The extent of students' feedback use has a large impact on subsequent academic performance. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*. 2017;42(4):625-644.
doi:10.1080/02602938.2016.1174187

100.

Forsythe A, Johnson S. Thanks, but no-thanks for the feedback. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*. 2017;42(6):850-859. doi:10.1080/02602938.2016.1202190

101.

Li LY. 'Tell me what to do' vs. 'guide me through it': Feedback experiences of international doctoral students. *Active Learning in Higher Education*. 2011;12(2):101-112.
doi:10.1177/1469787411402438

102.

Hughes G. *Ipsative Assessment: Motivation through Marking Progress*. Palgrave Macmillan; 2014. <http://catdir.loc.gov/catdir/enhancements/fy1413/2014415059-t.html>

103.

Susan Bloxham and Peter F Boyd. *Developing Effective Assessment in Higher Education : A Practical Guide*. McGraw-Hill Education; 2007.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gmul-ebooks/detail.action?docID=332673>

104.

Nicol D, Thomson A, Breslin C. Rethinking feedback practices in higher education: a peer review perspective. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*. 2014;39(1):102-122. doi:10.1080/02602938.2013.795518

105.

Orsmond P, Maw SJ, Park JR, Gomez S, Crook AC. Moving feedback forward: theory to practice. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*. 2013;38(2):240-252. doi:10.1080/02602938.2011.625472

106.

Denton P, McIlroy D. Response of students to statement bank feedback: the impact of assessment literacy on performances in summative tasks. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*. 2018;43(2):197-206. doi:10.1080/02602938.2017.1324017

107.

Sellbjer S. "Have you read my comments? It is not noticeable. Change!" An analysis of feedback given to students who have failed examinations. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*. 2018;43(2):163-174. doi:10.1080/02602938.2017.1310801

108.

Ackerman DS, Gross BL. Instructor Feedback: How Much Do Students Really Want? *Journal of Marketing Education*. 2010;32(2):172-181. doi:10.1177/0273475309360159

109.

Carless D. Differing perceptions in the feedback process. *Studies in Higher Education*. 2006;31(2):219-233. doi:10.1080/03075070600572132

110.

Orsmond P, Merry S. Feedback alignment: effective and ineffective links between tutors' and students' understanding of coursework feedback. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*. 2011;36(2):125-136. doi:10.1080/02602930903201651

111.

Glover C, Brown E. Written Feedback for Students: too much, too detailed or too incomprehensible to be effective? *Bioscience Education*. 2006;7(1):1-16. doi:10.3108/beej.2006.07000004

112.

Busse V. How do students of German perceive feedback practices at university? A motivational exploration. *Journal of Second Language Writing*. 2013;22(4):406-424. doi:10.1016/j.jslw.2013.09.005

113.

Duijnhouwer H, Prins FJ, Stokking KM. Feedback providing improvement strategies and reflection on feedback use: Effects on students' writing motivation, process, and performance. *Learning and Instruction*. 2012;22(3):171-184. doi:10.1016/j.learninstruc.2011.10.003

114.

Bryan C, Clegg K. *Innovative Assessment in Higher Education*. Routledge; 2006. <http://www.loc.gov/catdir/toc/ecip0516/2005020737.html>

115.

Broadbent J, Panadero E, Boud D. Implementing summative assessment with a formative flavour: a case study in a large class. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*. 2018;43(2):307-322. doi:10.1080/02602938.2017.1343455

116.

Sadler DR. Beyond feedback: developing student capability in complex appraisal.

Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education. 2010;35(5):535-550.
doi:10.1080/02602930903541015

117.

Price M, Handley K, Millar J, O'Donovan B. Feedback: all that effort, but what is the effect? Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education. 2010;35(3):277-289.
doi:10.1080/02602930903541007

118.

Setyonugroho W, Kennedy KM, Kropmans TJB. Reliability and validity of OSCE checklists used to assess the communication skills of undergraduate medical students: A systematic review. Patient Education and Counseling. 2015;98(12):1482-1491.
doi:10.1016/j.pec.2015.06.004

119.

Yiend J, Weller S, Kinchin I. Peer observation of teaching: The interaction between peer review and developmental models of practice. Journal of Further and Higher Education. 2014;38(4):465-484. doi:10.1080/0309877X.2012.726967

120.

Körkkö M, Kyrö-Ämmälä O, Turunen T. Professional development through reflection in teacher education. Teaching and Teacher Education. 2016;55:198-206.
doi:10.1016/j.tate.2016.01.014

121.

Schon DA. *Educating the Reflective Practitioner* / Donald A. Schon.; 1987.

122.

Leitch R, Day C. Action research and reflective practice: towards a holistic view. Educational Action Research. 2000;8(1):179-193. doi:10.1080/09650790000200108

123.

Reflective Practice in Geography Teaching. 1st ed. SAGE Publications; 2000.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gmul-ebooks/detail.action?docID=334515>

124.

Hatton N, Smith D. Reflection in teacher education: Towards definition and implementation. *Teaching and Teacher Education*. 1995;11(1):33-49.
doi:10.1016/0742-051X(94)00012-U

125.

Leijen Ä, Allas R, Toom A, et al. Guided Reflection for Supporting the Development of Student Teachers' Practical Knowledge. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*. 2014;112:314-322. doi:10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.01.1170

126.

Potter C. Leadership development: an applied comparison of Gibbs' Reflective Cycle and Scharmer's Theory U. *Industrial and Commercial Training*. 2015;47(6):336-342.
doi:10.1108/ICT-03-2015-0024

127.

Larrivee B. Transforming Teaching Practice: Becoming the critically reflective teacher. *Reflective Practice*. 2000;1(3):293-307. doi:10.1080/713693162

128.

Toom A, Husu J, Patrikainen S. Student teachers' patterns of reflection in the context of teaching practice. *European Journal of Teacher Education*. 2015;38(3):320-340.
doi:10.1080/02619768.2014.943731

129.

Issa N, Mayer RE, Schuller M, Wang E, Shapiro MB, DaRosa DA. Teaching for understanding in medical classrooms using multimedia design principles. *Medical Education*. 2013;47(4):388-396. doi:10.1111/medu.12127

130.

Jyoti Mahantesh Nagmoti. Departing from PowerPoint default mode: Applying Mayer's multimedia principles for enhanced learning of parasitology. *Indian Journal of Medical Microbiology*. 2017;35(2). doi:10.4103/ijmm.IJMM_16_251

131.

Mavromihales M, Holmes V, Racasan R. Game-based learning in mechanical engineering education: Case study of games-based learning application in computer aided design assembly. *International Journal of Mechanical Engineering Education*. Published online 21 March 2018. doi:10.1177/0306419018762571

132.

HEW KF, LO CK. Flipped classroom improves student learning in health professions education: a meta-analysis. *BMC Medical Education*. 2018;18(1). doi:10.1186/s12909-018-1144-z

133.

Baepler P, Walker JD, Driessen M. It's not about seat time: Blending, flipping, and efficiency in active learning classrooms. *Computers & Education*. 2014;78:227-236. doi:10.1016/j.compedu.2014.06.006

134.

Roach T. Student perceptions toward flipped learning: New methods to increase interaction and active learning in economics. *International Review of Economics Education*. 2014;17:74-84. doi:10.1016/j.iree.2014.08.003

135.

Chen KS, Monrouxe L, Lu YH, et al. Academic outcomes of flipped classroom learning: a meta-analysis. *Medical Education*. 2018;52(9):910-924. doi:10.1111/medu.13616

136.

Hu R, Gao H, Ye Y, Ni Z, Jiang N, Jiang X. Effectiveness of flipped classrooms in Chinese baccalaureate nursing education: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*. 2018;79:94-103. doi:10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2017.11.012

137.

Issa N, Schuller M, Santacaterina S, et al. Applying multimedia design principles enhances learning in medical education. *Medical Education*. 2011;45(8):818-826. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2923.2011.03988.x

138.

Issa N, Mayer RE, Schuller M, Wang E, Shapiro MB, DaRosa DA. Teaching for understanding in medical classrooms using multimedia design principles. *Medical Education*. 2013;47(4):388-396. doi:10.1111/medu.12127

139.

Renkl A, Scheiter K. Studying Visual Displays: How to Instructionally Support Learning. *Educational Psychology Review*. 2017;29(3):599-621. doi:10.1007/s10648-015-9340-4

140.

Pickering JD. Anatomy drawing screencasts: Enabling flexible learning for medical students. *Anatomical Sciences Education*. 2015;8(3):249-257. doi:10.1002/ase.1480

141.

Lau KHV. Computer-based teaching module design: principles derived from learning theories. *Medical Education*. 2014;48(3):247-254. doi:10.1111/medu.12357

142.

Graafland M, Dankbaar M, Mert A, et al. How to Systematically Assess Serious Games Applied to Health Care. *JMIR Serious Games*. 2014;2(2). doi:10.2196/games.3825

143.

Graafland M, Schraagen JM, Schijven MP. Systematic review of serious games for medical education and surgical skills training. *British Journal of Surgery*. 2012;99(10):1322-1330. doi:10.1002/bjs.8819

144.

Germany R, Mulligan B, Roberts DH. Infusing Theory into the Undergraduate Classics Curriculum: Examples from Haverford College's Senior Seminar, Translation and Transformation, and History of Literary Theory. *Classical World*. 2015;108(2):221-242. doi:10.1353/clw.2015.0023

145.

Chen CM, Wu CH. Effects of different video lecture types on sustained attention, emotion, cognitive load, and learning performance. *Computers & Education*. 2015;80:108-121. doi:10.1016/j.compedu.2014.08.015

146.

Long T, Logan J, Waugh M. Students' Perceptions of the Value of Using Videos as a Pre-class Learning Experience in the Flipped Classroom. *TechTrends*. 2016;60(3):245-252. doi:10.1007/s11528-016-0045-4

147.

Michaelson LK. *Designing Effective Group Activities: Lessons for Classroom Teaching and Faculty Development*. Published 1997. <http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1384&context=podimprovement>

148.

Balslev T, Rasmussen AB, Skajaa T, et al. Combining bimodal presentation schemes and buzz groups improves clinical reasoning and learning at morning report. *Medical Teacher*. 2015;37(8):759-766. doi:10.3109/0142159X.2014.986445

149.

Katyal, R. Enhancing student's learning by introducing various interactive teaching-learning methods in large group. *International journal of biomedical and advance research*. 2016;(8).

<http://wt3cf4et2l.scholar.serialssolutions.com/?sid=google&auinit=R&aualast=Katyal&atitle=Enhancing+student%E2%80%99s+learning+by+introducing+various+interactive+teaching-learning+methods+in+large+group&title=International+journal+of+biomedical+and+advance+research&volume=7&issue=8&date=2016&spage=363&issn=2229-3809>

150.

Posel N, Mcgee JB, Fleiszer DM. Twelve tips to support the development of clinical reasoning skills using virtual patient cases. *Medical Teacher*. 2015;37(9):813-818. doi:10.3109/0142159X.2014.993951

151.

Cohen DA, Newman LR, Fishman LN. Twelve tips on writing a discussion case that facilitates teaching and engages learners. *Medical Teacher*. 2017;39(2):147-152. doi:10.1080/0142159X.2017.1266315

152.

Imai PH, Kresyman S, Asadoorian J. Factors Influencing Dental Educators As They Develop Problem-Based Learning Cases. *Journal of Dental Education*. 2016;80(6):731-740. doi:10.1002/j.0022-0337.2016.80.6.tb06136.x

153.

Wang J, Ni H, Rui Y, Cui C, Cheng L. A WebGIS-based teaching assistant system for geography field practice (TASGFP). *British Journal of Educational Technology*. 2016;47(2):279-293. doi:10.1111/bjet.12231

154.

Pawson E, Fournier E, Haigh M, Muniz O, Trafford J, Vajoczki S. Problem-based Learning in Geography: Towards a Critical Assessment of its Purposes, Benefits and Risks. *Journal of Geography in Higher Education*. 2006;30(1):103-116. doi:10.1080/03098260500499709

155.

Pickering JD, Joynes VCT. A holistic model for evaluating the impact of individual technology-enhanced learning resources. *Medical Teacher*. 2016;38(12):1242-1247. doi:10.1080/0142159X.2016.1210112

156.

Duffy RM, Guerandel A, Casey P, Malone K, Kelly BD. Experiences of Using Prezi in Psychiatry Teaching. *Academic Psychiatry*. 2015;39(6):615-619. doi:10.1007/s40596-014-0204-x

157.

Kirsten Zimbardi. Using Inquiry-based Practicals to Promote Students' Critical Evaluation of the Scientific Literature and Maturation of their Understanding of the Nature of Scientific Knowledge. *International Journal of Innovation in Science and Mathematics Education (formerly CAL-laborate International)*. 2016;23(5). <https://openjournals.library.sydney.edu.au/index.php/CAL/article/view/10658>

158.

Alexandra Yeung. Invigorating science practicals using an inquiry orientated pedagogical tool. *Proceedings of The Australian Conference on Science and Mathematics Education (formerly UniServe Science Conference)*. Published online 2015. <https://openjournals.library.sydney.edu.au/index.php/IISME/article/view/9105>

159.

Reid S, Shapiro L, Louw G. How Haptics and Drawing Enhance the Learning of Anatomy. *Anatomical Sciences Education*. Published online 14 August 2018. doi:10.1002/ase.1807

160.

Cho D, Cosimini M, Espinoza J. Podcasting in medical education: a review of the literature. *Korean Journal of Medical Education*. 2017;29(4):229-239. doi:10.3946/kjme.2017.69

161.

Davies C. Learning and Teaching in Laboratories: An Engineering Subject Centre Guide. Published online 2008.
<https://www.heacademy.ac.uk/system/files/learning-teaching-labs.pdf>

162.

Kreber C. The University and Its Disciplines: Teaching and Learning within and beyond Disciplinary Boundaries. Routledge; 2008.
<http://ezproxy.library.qmul.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=QMUL&isbn=9780203892596&uid=^u>

163.

Becher T. Academic Tribes and Territories: Intellectual Enquiry and the Cultures of Disciplines. Society for Research into Higher Education; 1989.

164.

Shulman LS. Signature pedagogies in the professions. *Daedalus*. 2005;134(3):52-59.
doi:10.1162/0011526054622015

165.

Gurung RAR, Chick NL, Haynie A. Exploring Signature Pedagogies: Approaches to Teaching Disciplinary Habits of Mind. 1st ed. Stylus; 2009.
<http://catalogue.library.qmul.ac.uk/uhtbin/ezproxy.pl?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&scope=site&db=nlebk&db=nlabk&AN=253660>

166.

Nancy L. Chick, Aeron Haynie, Regan A. R. Gurung. Exploring More Signature Pedagogies. Stylus Pub.; 2012.

167.

Middendorf J, Pace D. Decoding the disciplines: A model for helping students learn disciplinary ways of thinking. *New Directions for Teaching and Learning*. 2004;2004(98):1-12. doi:10.1002/tl.142

168.

Jessop T, Maleckar B. The influence of disciplinary assessment patterns on student learning: a comparative study. *Studies in Higher Education*. 2016;41(4):696-711. doi:10.1080/03075079.2014.943170

169.

Land R, Meyer JHF, Flanagan MT, eds. *Threshold Concepts in Practice*. Vol volume 68. Sense Publishers; 2016.

170.

Ottewill R, McFarlane BJ. *Effective Learning & Teaching in Business & Management*. Kogan Page; 2001.

171.

Martensson P, Bild M, Nilsson K. *Teaching and Learning at Business Schools: Transforming Business Education*. Gower; 2008.

172.

Findlay-Thompson, Sandi. Evaluation of a Flipped Classroom in an Undergraduate Business Course. http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2331035

173.

Eckmann J. Law School Teaching: Linking Learning with Law Practice. *Legal education review*. Published online 2004:257-268.
http://wt3cf4et2l.search.serialssolutions.com/?ctx_ver=Z39.88-2004&ctx_enc=info%3Aofi%2Fenc%3AUTF-8&rft_id=info%3Asid%2Fsummon.serialssolutions.com&rft_val_fmt=info%3Aofi%2Ffmt%3Akev%3Amtx%3Ajournal&rft.genre=article&rft.atitle=Law+School+Teaching%3A+Linking+Learning+with+Law+Practice&rft.jtitle=Legal+Education+Review&rft.au=Eckmann%2C+James+K&rft.date=2004&rft.issn=1033-2839&rft.volume=14&rft.issue=2&rft.spage=257&rft.epage=268&rft.externalDBID=n%2Fa&rft.externalDocID=894780580128516&rft.paramdict=en-US

174.

Burrige R, Institute for Learning and Teaching in Higher Education (Great Britain). Effective Learning & Teaching in Law. Kogan Page; 2002.

175.

Klink B van, Vries URMTh de, eds. Academic Learning in Law: Theoretical Positions, Teaching Experiments and Learning Experiences. Edward Elgar Publishing; 2016.

176.

Bamford D. Learning the 'How' of the Law: Teaching Procedure and Legal Education. Osgoode Hall law journal (1960). Published online 10AD.
http://wt3cf4et2l.search.serialssolutions.com/?ctx_ver=Z39.88-2004&ctx_enc=info%3Aofi%2Fenc%3AUTF-8&rft_id=info%3Asid%2Fsummon.serialssolutions.com&rft_val_fmt=info%3Aofi%2Ffmt%3Akev%3Amtx%3Ajournal&rft.genre=article&rft.atitle=Learning+the+%27How%27+of+the+Law%3A+Teaching+Procedure+and+Legal+Education&rft.jtitle=Osgoode+Hall+Law+Journal&rft.au=David+Bamford&rft.au=Trevor+C+W+Farrow&rft.au=Michael+Karayanni&rft.au=Erik+S+Knutsen&rft.date=2013-10-01&rft.pub=Osgoode+Hall+Law+School+of+York+University&rft.issn=0030-6185&rft.volume=51&rft.issue=1&rft.spage=45&rft.externalDocID=3325608141¶mdict=en-US

177.

Knights B. Intelligence and Interrogation: The identity of the English student. Arts and Humanities in Higher Education. 2005;4(1):33-52. doi:10.1177/1474022205048757

178.

Arts and Humanities in Higher Education. doi:10.1177/1474022216628303#

179.

Alder E. Becoming a student of English: Students' experiences of transition into the first year. Arts and Humanities in Higher Education. 2018;17(2):185-203.
doi:10.1177/1474022216628303

180.

Milthorpe N, Clarke R, Fletcher L, Moore R, Stark H. Blended English: Technology-enhanced teaching and learning in English literary studies. *Arts and Humanities in Higher Education*. 2018;17(3):345-365. doi:10.1177/1474022217722140

181.

Cunningham C. Teaching and learning French – A tale of desire in the humanities. *Arts and Humanities in Higher Education*. 2017;16(2):127-140. doi:10.1177/1474022215599165

182.

Dörnyei Z, Malderez A. Group dynamics and foreign language teaching. *System*. 1997;25(1):65-81. doi:10.1016/S0346-251X(96)00061-9

183.

Effective Learning and Teaching in Modern Languages. 1st ed. Taylor & Francis Group; 2004. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gmul-ebooks/detail.action?docID=214776>

184.

Calder L. Uncoverage: Toward a Signature Pedagogy for the History Survey. *Journal of American History*. 2006;92(4):1358-1370. doi:10.2307/4485896

185.

Gannon K. Getting Medieval with Team-Based Learning â™™ The Tattooed Professor. <http://www.thetattooedprof.com/archives/449>

186.

Middendorf J, Mickute J, Saunders T, Najar J, Clark-Huckstep AE, Pace D. What's feeling got to do with it? Decoding emotional bottlenecks in the history classroom. *Arts and Humanities in Higher Education*. 2015;14(2):166-180. doi:10.1177/1474022214552655

187.

Booth A, Ludvigsson D. Tuning history. Arts and Humanities in Higher Education. Published online 9 January 2017. doi:10.1177/1474022216686507

188.

Geoff Timmins, Keith Vernon, and Christine Kinealy. Teaching and Learning History. 1st ed. SAGE Publications; 2009.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gmul-ebooks/detail.action?docID=456719>

189.

Alan Booth. Teaching History at University : Enhancing Learning and Understanding. Routledge; 2013.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gmul-ebooks/detail.action?docID=1517699>

190.

Fyfe A. Uncomfortable departments: British historians of science and the importance of disciplinary communities. Arts and Humanities in Higher Education. 2015;14(2):194-205. doi:10.1177/1474022214549438

191.

Poletti A, Seaboyer J, Kennedy R, Barnett T, Douglas K. The affects of not reading: Hating characters, being bored, feeling stupid. Arts and Humanities in Higher Education. 2016;15(2):231-247. doi:10.1177/1474022214556898

192.

Reflective Practice in Geography Teaching. 1st ed. SAGE Publications; 2000.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gmul-ebooks/detail.action?docID=334515>

193.

Kinchin IM, Francis RA. Mapping pedagogic frailty in geography education: a framed autoethnographic case study. Journal of Geography in Higher Education. 2017;41(1):56-74. doi:10.1080/03098265.2016.1241988

194.

Pawson E, Fournier E, Haigh M, Muniz O, Trafford J, Vajoczki S. Problem-based Learning in Geography: Towards a Critical Assessment of its Purposes, Benefits and Risks. *Journal of Geography in Higher Education*. 2006;30(1):103-116. doi:10.1080/03098260500499709

195.

Journal of Geography in Higher Education: Vol 42, No 1.
<https://www.tandfonline.com/toc/cjgh20/current>

196.

Kahn PB, Kyle J, Institute for Learning and Teaching in Higher Education (Great Britain). *Effective Learning and Teaching in Mathematics and Its Applications*. Kogan Page; 2002.

197.

Lo CK, Hew KF, Chen G. Toward a set of design principles for mathematics flipped classrooms: A synthesis of research in mathematics education. *Educational Research Review*. 2017;22:50-73. doi:10.1016/j.edurev.2017.08.002

198.

HERSAM MC, LUNA M, LIGHT G. Implementation of Interdisciplinary Group Learning and Peer Assessment in a Nanotechnology Engineering Course. *Journal of Engineering Education*. 2004;93(1):49-57. doi:10.1002/j.2168-9830.2004.tb00787.x

199.

Holmes NG, Wieman CE. Introductory physics labs: We can do better. *Physics Today*. 2018;71(1):38-45. doi:10.1063/PT.3.3816

200.

Arthur P, Ludwig M, Castelli J, Kirkwood P, Attwood P. Prepare, Do, Review: A skills-based approach for laboratory practical classes in biochemistry and molecular biology. *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Education*. 2016;44(3):276-287. doi:10.1002/bmb.20951

201.

Cooper MM, Stowe RL. Chemistry Education Research—From Personal Empiricism to Evidence, Theory, and Informed Practice. *Chemical Reviews*. 2018;118(12):6053-6087. doi:10.1021/acs.chemrev.8b00020

202.

Bill Lucas. Thinking Like an Engineer: Using Engineering Habits of Mind and Signature Pedagogies to Redesign Engineering Education. *International Journal of Engineering Pedagogy (IJEP)*. 2016;6(2):4-13. <http://journals.sfu.ca/onlinejour/index.php/i-jep/article/view/5366>

203.

Dolan EL. Undergraduate research as curriculum. *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Education*. 2017;45(4):293-298. doi:10.1002/bmb.21070

204.

Santas AJ. Reciprocity within biochemistry and biology service-learning. *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Education*. 2009;37(3):143-151. doi:10.1002/bmb.20291

205.

Young JM, Shepardson DP. Using Q methodology to investigate undergraduate students' attitudes toward the geosciences. *Science Education*. 2018;102(1):195-214. doi:10.1002/sce.21320

206.

Hanh NV, Hop NH. The effectiveness of the industrial field trip in introduction to engineering: A case study at Hung Yen University of Technology and Education, Vietnam. *International Journal of Electrical Engineering Education*. Published online 27 April 2018.

doi:10.1177/0020720918773050

207.

Rubner G. First-year undergraduate teaching of electrical and electronic engineering: innovation and inspiration. *International Journal of Electrical Engineering Education*. 2017;54(4):281-282. doi:10.1177/0020720917694997

208.

Cavalcanti J, Figueredo LF, Ishihara JY, et al. A real-time web-based networked control system education platform. *International Journal of Electrical Engineering Education*. 2018;55(2):130-141. doi:10.1177/0020720917750952

209.

Twigg P, Ponnappalli P, Fowler M. Workshop problem-solving for improved student engagement and increased learning in Engineering Control. *International Journal of Electrical Engineering Education*. 2018;55(2):120-129. doi:10.1177/0020720918756258

210.

Coppens P, Van den Bossche J, De Cock M. Student understanding of phase shifts, frequency and Bode plots. *International Journal of Electrical Engineering Education*. 2017;54(3):247-261. doi:10.1177/0020720916680373

211.

Judge M. Large-scale Laboratory Teaching for 1st Year EEE Undergraduates. *International Journal of Electrical Engineering Education*. 2017;54(2):164-177. doi:10.1177/0020720916688487

212.

Mavromihales M, Holmes V, Racasan R. Game-based learning in mechanical engineering education: Case study of games-based learning application in computer aided design assembly. *International Journal of Mechanical Engineering Education*. Published online 21 March 2018. doi:10.1177/0306419018762571

213.

Heywood J. *The Assessment of Learning in Engineering Education: Practice and Policy*. IEEE Press; 2016.
<http://catalogue.library.qmul.ac.uk/uhtbin/ezproxy.pl?url=http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/servlet/opac?bknumber=7461000>

214.

Odeh S, McKenna S, Abu-Mulaweh H. A unified first-year engineering design-based learning course. *International Journal of Mechanical Engineering Education*. 2017;45(1):47-58. doi:10.1177/0306419016674508

215.

Tian ZF. Teaching and enhancement of critical thinking skills for undergraduate students in a computational fluid dynamics course. *International Journal of Mechanical Engineering Education*. 2017;45(1):76-88. doi:10.1177/0306419016674133

216.

Mariasiu F, Raboca HM. Assessment of extracurricular activities' effects on automotive engineering education: A cross-national study. *International Journal of Mechanical Engineering Education*. 2017;45(2):120-141. doi:10.1177/0306419016674144

217.

Kim M, Diong CH, ProQuest (Firm). *Biology Education for Social and Sustainable Development*. Sense Publishers; 2012.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gmul-ebooks/detail.action?docID=3034747>

218.

Letchford J, Corradi H, Day T. A flexible e-learning resource promoting the critical reading of scientific papers for science undergraduates. *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Education*. 2017;45(6):483-490. doi:10.1002/bmb.21072

219.

Cubas Rolim E, Martins de Oliveira J, Dalvi LT, et al. Blog construction as an effective tool in biochemistry active learning. *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Education*. 2017;45(3):205-215. doi:10.1002/bmb.21028

220.

Goff EE, Reindl KM, Johnson C, et al. Variation in external representations as part of the classroom lecture: An investigation of virtual cell animations in introductory photosynthesis instruction*. *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Education*. 2017;45(3):226-234. doi:10.1002/bmb.21032

221.

Eberlein T, Kampmeier J, Minderhout V, et al. Pedagogies of engagement in science. *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Education*. 2008;36(4):262-273. doi:10.1002/bmb.20204

222.

Thaman R, Dillon S, Saggar S, Gupta M, Kaur H. Promoting active learning in respiratory physiology – Positive student perception and improved outcomes. *National Journal of Physiology, Pharmacy and Pharmacology*. 2013;3(1):27-34.

223.

Cantillon P, Wood D. *ABC of Learning and Teaching in Medicine*. 2nd ed. Wiley-Blackwell; 2010.
<http://ezproxy.library.qmul.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=QMUL&isbn=9781444323238&uid=^u>

224.

McKimm J, Swanick T. *Clinical Teaching Made Easy: A Practical Guide to Teaching and Learning in Clinical Settings*. Quay; 2010.

225.

Bleakley A. Pre-registration house officers and ward-based learning: a 'new apprenticeship' model. *Medical Education*. 2002;36(1):9-15. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2923.2002.01128.x

226.

Egan T, Jaye C. Communities of clinical practice: the social organization of clinical learning. *Health*. 2009;13(1):107-125. doi:10.1177/1363459308097363

227.

Launer J. Managing the threat to reflective writing. *Postgraduate Medical Journal*. 2018;94(1111):314-315. doi:10.1136/postgradmedj-2018-135753

228.

Birden H, Glass N, Wilson I, Harrison M, Usherwood T, Nass D. Teaching professionalism in medical education: A Best Evidence Medical Education (BEME) systematic review. BEME Guide No. 25. *Medical Teacher*. 2013;35(7):e1252-e1266. doi:10.3109/0142159X.2013.789132

229.

Rivière E, Saucier D, Lafleur A, Lacasse M, Chiniara G. Twelve tips for efficient procedural simulation. *Medical Teacher*. Published online 24 October 2017:1-9. doi:10.1080/0142159X.2017.1391375

230.

Losco CD, Grant WD, Armson A, Meyer AJ, Walker BF. Effective methods of teaching and learning in anatomy as a basic science: A BEME systematic review: BEME guide no. 44. *Medical Teacher*. 2017;39(3):234-243. doi:10.1080/0142159X.2016.1271944

231.

Ellaway RH, O'Gorman L, Strasser R, et al. A critical hybrid realist-outcomes systematic review of relationships between medical education programmes and communities: BEME Guide No. 35. *Medical Teacher*. 2016;38(3):229-245. doi:10.3109/0142159X.2015.1112894

232.

Park S, Khan NF, Hampshire M, et al. A BEME systematic review of UK undergraduate medical education in the general practice setting: BEME Guide No. 32. *Medical Teacher*. 2015;37(7):611-630. doi:10.3109/0142159X.2015.1032918

233.

Motola I, Devine LA, Chung HS, Sullivan JE, Issenberg SB. Simulation in healthcare education: A best evidence practical guide. *AMEE Guide No. 82. Medical Teacher*. 2013;35(10):e1511-e1530. doi:10.3109/0142159X.2013.818632

234.

Fatmi M, Hartling L, Hillier T, Campbell S, Oswald AE. The effectiveness of team-based learning on learning outcomes in health professions education: BEME Guide No. 30. *Medical Teacher*. 2013;35(12):e1608-e1624. doi:10.3109/0142159X.2013.849802

235.

Braeckman L, 't Kint L, Bekaert M, Cobbaut L, Janssens H. Comparison of two case-based learning conditions with real patients in teaching occupational medicine. *Medical Teacher*. 2014;36(4):340-346. doi:10.3109/0142159X.2014.887833

236.

Merritt C, Munzer BW, Wolff M, Santen SA. Not Another Bedside Lecture: Active Learning Techniques for Clinical Instruction. *AEM Education and Training*. 2018;2(1):48-50. doi:10.1002/aet2.10069

237.

Mac Giolla Phadraig C, Nunn JH, Tornsey O, Timms M. Does Special Care Dentistry undergraduate teaching improve dental student attitudes towards people with disabilities? *European Journal of Dental Education*. 2015;19(2):107-112. doi:10.1111/eje.12110

238.

Cameron DA, Binnie VI, Sherriff A, Bissell V. Peer assisted learning: teaching dental skills and enhancing graduate attributes. *British Dental Journal*. 2015;219(6):267-272.
doi:10.1038/sj.bdj.2015.722

239.

Beveridge E. Learning from patients. *BMJ*. 2007;334(7592):s83.2-s84.
doi:10.1136/bmj.334.7592.s83-a

240.

Gamble Blakey A, Golding C. 'Of Course They're Bloody Scared!' Managing Medical Student Fear to Better Cultivate Thinking. *Medical Science Educator*. 2018;28(1):165-173.
doi:10.1007/s40670-017-0524-z