

ADP7115 and ADP7215

Reading List for the Final Module of QMUL Educational Development's PGCLTHE

View Online



1.

Moon, J.A.: The module & programme development handbook: a practical guide to linking levels, learning outcomes & assessment. Kogan Page, London (2002).

2.

John Biggs: Enhancing Teaching through Constructive Alignment. Higher Education. 32, (1996).

3.

Fung, D.: Connected Curriculum for Higher Education. UCL Press (2017).
<https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctt1qmw8nf>.

4.

Carless, D., Salter, D., Yang, M., Lam, J.: Developing sustainable feedback practices. Studies in Higher Education. 36, 395–407 (2011).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/03075071003642449>.

5.

Wanner, T., Palmer, E.: Formative self-and peer assessment for improved student learning: the crucial factors of design, teacher participation and feedback. Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education. 43, 1032–1047 (2018).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2018.1427698>.

6.

Novakovich, J.: Fostering critical thinking and reflection through blog-mediated peer feedback. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*. 32, 16–30 (2016).
<https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.12114>.

7.

David Carless: Exploring learning-oriented assessment processes. *Higher Education*. 69, (2015).

8.

Barton, K.L., Schofield, S.J., McAleer, S., Ajjawi, R.: Translating evidence-based guidelines to improve feedback practices: the interACT case study. *BMC Medical Education*. 16, (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-016-0562-z>.

9.

McCarthy, J.: Enhancing feedback in higher education: Students' attitudes towards online and in-class formative assessment feedback models. *Active Learning in Higher Education*. 18, 127–141 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1469787417707615>.

10.

Brunton, James: Designing and Developing a Programme-Focused Assessment Strategy: A Case Study. *Open learning*. (2016).

11.

Rapanta, C., Cantoni, L.: Being in the users' shoes: Anticipating experience while designing online courses. *British Journal of Educational Technology*. 45, 765–777 (2014).
<https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12102>.

12.

Gikandi, J W: Designing and Implementing Peer Formative Feedback within Online Learning Environments. *Technology, pedagogy and education*. (2016).

13.

Elena Barberà: Designing Online Interaction to Address Disciplinary Competencies: A Cross-Country Comparison of Faculty Perspectives. *International Review of Research in Open and Distance Learning*. 15, (2014).

14.

John Biggs: Enhancing Teaching through Constructive Alignment. *Higher Education*. 32, (1996).

15.

Paul Ashwin: Knowledge, curriculum and student understanding in higher education. *Higher Education*. 67, (2014).

16.

Paxton, M., Frith, V.: Implications of academic literacies research for knowledge making and curriculum design. *Higher Education*. 67, 171–182 (2014).
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-013-9675-z>.

17.

Bunbury, S.: Disability in higher education – do reasonable adjustments contribute to an inclusive curriculum? *International Journal of Inclusive Education*. 1–16 (2018).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13603116.2018.1503347>.

18.

Burrell, Andrew R: Team-Based Curriculum Design as an Agent of Change. *Teaching in higher education*. (2015).

19.

Lam, B.H., Tsui, K.T.: Curriculum mapping as deliberation – examining the alignment of subject learning outcomes and course curricula. *Studies in Higher Education*. 41, 1371–1388 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2014.968539>.

20.

Brooman, S: The Student Voice in Higher Education Curriculum Design: Is There Value in Listening? *Innovations in education and teaching international*. (2015).

21.

Villarroel, V., Bloxham, S., Bruna, D., Bruna, C., Herrera-Seda, C.: Authentic assessment: creating a blueprint for course design. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*. 43, 840–854 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2017.1412396>.

22.

Pittaway, S.M., Moss, T.: "Initially, We Were Just Names on a Computer Screen": Designing Engagement in Online Teacher Education. *Australian Journal of Teacher Education*. 39, (2014). <https://doi.org/10.14221/ajte.2014v39n7.10>.

23.

Bridges, S., Yiu, C.K.Y., Botelho, M.G.: Design Considerations for an Integrated, Problem-Based Curriculum. *Medical Science Educator*. 26, 365–373 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40670-016-0255-6>.

24.

Diversifying the Higher-Education Curriculum: Queering the Design and Pedagogy. *Journal of Feminist Studies in Religion*. 34, (2018). <https://doi.org/10.2979/jfemistudreli.34.1.25>.

25.

Owston, R., York, D.N.: The nagging question when designing blended courses: Does the proportion of time devoted to online activities matter? *The Internet and Higher Education*. 36, 22–32 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ihereduc.2017.09.001>.

26.

Regan A. R. Gurung, Nancy L. Chick, Aeron Haynie: Exploring Signature Pedagogies: Approaches to Teaching Disciplinary Habits ...

27.

Ferry Boschman, Susan McKenney and Joke Voogt: Understanding decision making in teachers' curriculum design approaches. *Educational Technology Research and Development*. 62, (2014).

28.

Carolyn Plewa, Victoria Galán-Muros and Todd Davey: Engaging business in curriculum design and delivery: a higher education institution perspective. *Higher Education*. 70, (2015).

29.

Leonard, Simon N: Using Developmental Evaluation as a Design Thinking Tool for Curriculum Innovation in Professional Higher Education. *Higher education research and development*. (2016).

30.

Whiley, Dona: Enhancing Critical Thinking Skills in First Year Environmental Management Students: A Tale of Curriculum Design, Application and Reflection. *Journal of geography in higher education*. (2017).

31.

Trigwell, K., Prosser, M.: Qualitative variation in constructive alignment in curriculum design. *Higher Education*. 67, 141–154 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-013-9701-1>.

32.

Ulriksen, L., Holmegaard, H.T., Madsen, L.M.: Making sense of curriculum—the transition into science and engineering university programmes. *Higher Education*. 73, 423–440 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-016-0099-4>.

33.

Abdul-Mumin, K.H.: The process of internationalization of the nursing and midwifery curriculum: A qualitative study. *Nurse Education Today*. 46, 139–145 (2016).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2016.09.003>.

34.

Tam, M.: Outcomes-based approach to quality assessment and curriculum improvement in higher education. *Quality Assurance in Education*. 22, 158–168 (2014).
<https://doi.org/10.1108/QAE-09-2011-0059>.

35.

Ray Land, Julie Rattray and Peter Vivian: Learning in the liminal space: a semiotic approach to threshold concepts. *Higher Education*. 67, (2014).

36.

Kathy Luckett and Aditi Hunma: Making gazes explicit: facilitating epistemic access in the Humanities. *Higher Education*. 67, (2014).

37.

Tassone, V.C., O'Mahony, C., McKenna, E., Eppink, H.J., Wals, A.E.J.: (Re-)designing higher education curricula in times of systemic dysfunction: a responsible research and innovation perspective. *Higher Education*. 76, 337–352 (2018).
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-017-0211-4>.

38.

Kate O'Connor: MOOCs, institutional policy and change dynamics in higher education. *Higher Education*. 68, (2014).

39.

Crawford, I., Wang, Z.: The impact of individual factors on the academic attainment of Chinese and UK students in higher education. *Studies in Higher Education*. 40, 902–920 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2013.851182>.

40.

Fan, S.-C., Yu, K.-C.: How an integrative STEM curriculum can benefit students in engineering design practices. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*. 27, 107–129 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10798-015-9328-x>.

41.

Matheson, Ruth: Creating Belonging and Transformation through the Adoption of Flexible Pedagogies in Masters Level International Business Management Students. *Teaching in higher education*. (2017).

42.

Stewart, Victoria: Developing a Postgraduate Work-Based Curriculum Using an Intervention Mapping Approach. *Studies in continuing education*. (2016).

43.

Powell, P., Walsh, A.: Whose curriculum is it anyway? Stakeholder salience in the context of Degree Apprenticeships. *Higher Education Quarterly*. 72, 90–106 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1111/hequ.12149>.

44.

Backenroth, Ofra Arieli: 'From the Intuitive to the Intentional': Designing a Constructivist Online Course. *Religious education*. (2017).

45.

Walker, R., Handley, Z.: Designing for learner engagement with computer-based testing. *Research in Learning Technology*. 24, (2016). <https://doi.org/10.3402/rlt.v24.30083>.

46.

Rasi, P., Vuojärvi, H.: Toward personal and emotional connectivity in mobile higher education through asynchronous formative audio feedback. *British Journal of Educational Technology*. 49, 292–304 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12587>.

47.

Steen-Utheim, A., Hopfenbeck, T.N.: To do or not to do with feedback. A study of undergraduate students' engagement and use of feedback within a portfolio assessment design. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*. 44, 80–96 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2018.1476669>.

48.

Denton, P., Rowe, P.: Using statement banks to return online feedback: limitations of the transmission approach in a credit-bearing assessment. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*. 40, 1095–1103 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2014.970124>.

49.

Yuan, Jiangmei: Effective Feedback Design Using Free Technologies. *Journal of educational computing research*. (2015).

50.

Huisman, Bart: Peer Feedback on College Students' Writing: Exploring the Relation between Students' Ability Match, Feedback Quality and Essay Performance. *Higher education research and development*. (2017).

51.

Cockett, A., Jackson, C.: The use of assessment rubrics to enhance feedback in higher education: An integrative literature review. *Nurse Education Today*. 69, 8–13 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2018.06.022>.

52.

Laurillard, D., Kennedy, E., Charlton, P., Wild, J., Dimakopoulos, D.: Using technology to develop teachers as designers of TEL: Evaluating the learning designer. *British Journal of Educational Technology*. 49, 1044–1058 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12697>.

53.

Brad, Stappenbelt: 'The effectiveness of the teaching-research nexus in facilitating student learning. *Engineering Education*. (2013).

54.

Clifford, Valerie: Designing an Internationalised Curriculum for Higher Education: Embracing the Local and the Global Citizen. Higher education research and development. (2017).

55.

Hofmeyer, A., Sheingold, B.H., Klopper, H.C., Warland, J.: Leadership In Learning And Teaching In Higher Education: Perspectives Of Academics In Non-Formal Leadership Roles. Contemporary Issues in Education Research (CIER). 8, (2015). <https://doi.org/10.19030/cier.v8i3.9348>.

56.

Horey, D., Fortune, T., Nicolacopoulos, T., Kashima, E., Mathisen, B.: Global Citizenship and Higher Education: A Scoping Review of the Empirical Evidence. Journal of Studies in International Education. 22, 472–492 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1028315318786443>.

57.

Hebdon, Sharon: Embedding Support for Students Transitioning into Higher Education: Evaluation of a New Model. The international journal of training research. (2015).

58.

Heron, M.: Pedagogic practices to support international students in seminar discussions. Higher Education Research & Development. 1–14 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360.2018.1512954>.

59.

Schmid, Megan E: Promoting Student Academic Achievement through Faculty Development about Inclusive Teaching. Change (New Rochelle, N.Y.). (2016).

60.

Hughes, Annie: Exploring Normative Whiteness: Ensuring Inclusive Pedagogic Practice in Undergraduate Fieldwork Teaching and Learning. Journal of geography in higher education.

(2016).

61.

Rojas Pernia, S., Haya Salmón, I., Susinos Rada, T.: GROWING STUDENT VOICE IN CURRICULUM DECISIONS AT THE UNIVERSITY. *Journal of Research in Special Educational Needs*. 16, 563–567 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-3802.12186>.

62.

Dewsbury, B.M.: On faculty development of STEM inclusive teaching practices. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*. 364, (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1093/femsle/fnx179>.

63.

Lozano, A.: Breaking the Black/White Binary in Higher Education Leadership. *About Campus*. 21, 27–31 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1002/abc.21276>.

64.

Suleman, F.: The employability skills of higher education graduates: insights into conceptual frameworks and methodological options. *Higher Education*. 76, 263–278 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-017-0207-0>.

65.

Pryor, D., Henley, A.: Boundary spanning in higher education leadership: identifying boundaries and practices in a British university. *Studies in Higher Education*. 43, 2210–2225 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2017.1318364>.

66.

Brabazon, T., Schulz, S.: Braving the bull: women, mentoring and leadership in higher education. *Gender and Education*. 1–18 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1080/09540253.2018.1544362>.

67.

Tight, Malcolm: Examining the Research/Teaching Nexus. *European journal of higher education*. (2016).

68.

Mitten, Carolyn: Sustaining a Commitment to Teaching in a Research-Intensive University: What We Learn from Award-Winning Faculty. *Studies in higher education* (Dorchester-on-Thames). (2018).

69.

Slapcoff, Marcy: The Inquiry Network: A model for promoting the teaching-research nexus in higher education. *Canadian journal of higher education* (1975). (2014).

70.

Weller, S.: *Academic practice: developing as a professional in higher education*. Sage, London (2016).

71.

Lopes, A., Boyd, P., Andrew, N., Pereira, F.: The research-teaching nexus in nurse and teacher education: contributions of an ecological approach to academic identities in professional fields. *Higher Education*. 68, 167–183 (2014).
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-013-9700-2>.

72.

Eley, D.S., Wilkinson, D.: Building a teaching-research nexus in a research intensive university: Rejuvenating the recruitment and training of the clinician scientist. *Medical Teacher*. 37, 174–180 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2014.947937>.

73.

Olivares-Donoso, R., Gonzalez, C.: Biology and medicine students' experiences of the relationship between teaching and research. *Higher Education*. 76, 849–864 (2018).
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-018-0241-6>.

74.

Hitch, D., Macfarlane, S., Nihill, C.: Inclusive pedagogy in Australian universities: A review of current policies and professional development activities. *The International Journal of the First Year in Higher Education*. 6, (2015). <https://doi.org/10.5204/intjfyhe.v6i1.254>.

75.

Gale, Trevor: Socially Inclusive Teaching: Belief, Design, Action as Pedagogic Work. *Journal of teacher education*. (2017).

76.

Jones, Janice K: Decolonising Research and Teaching Methodologies: A 'Ninth Moment' Symphony of Artist-Educator-Researcher Voices. *International Journal of Pedagogies & Learning*. (2015).

77.

Friedman, Jonathan Z: The Global Citizenship Agenda and the Generation of Cosmopolitan Capital in British Higher Education. *British journal of sociology of education*. (2018).

78.

Aktas, F., Pitts, K., Richards, J.C., Silova, I.: Institutionalizing Global Citizenship. *Journal of Studies in International Education*. 21, 65–80 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1028315316669815>.

79.

Stein, Sharon: Mapping Global Citizenship. *Journal of college and character*. (2015).

80.

Pavlin, S., Svetlik, I.: Employability of higher education graduates in Europe. *International Journal of Manpower*. 35, 418–424 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJM-05-2013-0104>.

81.

Frankham, Jo: Employability and Higher Education: The Follies of the 'Productivity Challenge' in the Teaching Excellence Framework. *Journal of education policy*. (2017).

82.

Rogaten, J., Rienties, B., Sharpe, R., Cross, S., Whitelock, D., Lygo-Baker, S., Littlejohn, A.: Reviewing affective, behavioural and cognitive learning gains in higher education. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*. 1-17 (2018).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2018.1504277>.

83.

Tondeur, J., van Braak, J., Ertmer, P.A., Ottenbreit-Leftwich, A.: Understanding the relationship between teachers' pedagogical beliefs and technology use in education: a systematic review of qualitative evidence. *Educational Technology Research and Development*. 65, 555-575 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-016-9481-2>.

84.

Emanuela Reale: Challenges in higher education research: the use of quantitative tools in comparative analyses. *Higher Education*. 67, (2014).

85.

Rump, Markus: Individual Differences in the Effects of Academic Motivation on Higher Education Students' Intention to Drop Out. *European journal of higher education*. (2017).

86.

McArdle, Karen: When Practice Takes Precedence: Conceptions of Inquiry and the Link to Ethical Posture. *Educational action research*. (2015).

87.

Michinov, N., Morice, J., Ferrières, V.: A step further in Peer Instruction: Using the Stepladder technique to improve learning. *Computers & Education*. 91, 1-13 (2015).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2015.09.007>.

88.

Mathers, C.E., Finney, S.J., Hathcoat, J.D.: Student learning in higher education: a longitudinal analysis and faculty discussion. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*. 43, 1211–1227 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2018.1443202>.

89.

James, F., Augustin, D.S.: Improving teachers' pedagogical and instructional practice through action research: potential and problems. *Educational Action Research*. 26, 333–348 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1080/09650792.2017.1332655>.

90.

Wells, R.S., Stage, F.K.: Past, Present, and Future of Critical Quantitative Research in Higher Education. *New Directions for Institutional Research*. 2014, 103–112 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1002/ir.20089>.

91.

Solvason, Carla: Researching in School -- Creating a Meaningful School/University Alliance: A Reflection. *Educational action research*. (2018).

92.

Thompson, Karen D: Considering Interest and Action: Analyzing Types of Questions Explored by Researcher-Practitioner Partnerships. *Educational researcher*. (2017).

93.

Constable, H.: Universities, supporting schools and practitioner research. *Research in Education*. 101, 39–62 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0034523718763637>.

94.

Harlow, Ann: Threshold Concept Theory as an Enabling Constraint: A Facilitated Practitioner Action Research Study. *Educational action research*. (2017).

95.

Dahlström, Lars: An Autobiographical Narrative towards Critical Practitioner Inquiry and a Counter Hegemonic Southern Network. *Journal for critical education policy studies*. (2016).

96.

Bhandari, K.: Teaching evaluation practices: An early career practitioner's reflections. *Journal of Hospitality, Leisure, Sport & Tourism Education*. 20, 27–31 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhlste.2017.01.002>.

97.

Lochmiller, Chad R: Conceptualizing Practitioner-Scholarship for Educational Leadership Research and Practice. *Journal of research on leadership education*. (2017).

98.

Kupo, V.L.: Becoming a Scholar-Practitioner in Student Affairs. *New Directions for Student Services*. 2014, 89–98 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1002/ss.20103>.

99.

Töytäri, Aija: Higher Education Teachers' Descriptions of Their Own Learning: A Quantitative Perspective. *Higher education research and development*. (2017).

100.

Steyn, C., Davies, C., Sambo, A.: Eliciting student feedback for course development: the application of a qualitative course evaluation tool among business research students. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*. 44, 11–24 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2018.1466266>.

101.

Fullana, J., Pallisera, M., Colomer, J., Fernández Peña, R., Pérez-Burriel, M.: Reflective learning in higher education: a qualitative study on students' perceptions. *Studies in Higher Education*. 41, 1008–1022 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2014.950563>.

102.

Santos, J., Figueiredo, A.S., Vieira, M.: Innovative pedagogical practices in higher education: An integrative literature review. *Nurse Education Today*. 72, 12–17 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2018.10.003>.

103.

Kilburn, Daniel: Learning as Researchers and Teachers: The Development of a Pedagogical Culture for Social Science Research Methods? *British journal of educational studies*. (2014).

104.

Winberg, C., Adendorff, H., Bozalek, V., Conana, H., Pallitt, N., Wolff, K., Olsson, T., Roxå, T.: Learning to teach STEM disciplines in higher education: a critical review of the literature. *Teaching in Higher Education*. 1–18 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13562517.2018.1517735>.

105.

Polkinghorne, Martyn: Considering the Marketing of Higher Education: The Role of Student Learning Gain as a Potential Indicator of Teaching Quality. *Journal of marketing for higher education*. (2017).

106.

Meens, E.E.M., Bakx, A.W.E.A., Klimstra, T.A., Denissen, J.J.A.: The association of identity and motivation with students' academic achievement in higher education. *Learning and Individual Differences*. 64, 54–70 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2018.04.006>.

107.

Kyndt, E., Donche, V., Coertjens, L., van Daal, T., Gijbels, D., Van Petegem, P.: Does self-efficacy contribute to the development of students' motivation across the transition from secondary to higher education? *European Journal of Psychology of Education*. (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10212-018-0389-6>.

108.

Reid, A.-M., Brown, J.M., Smith, J.M., Cope, A.C., Jamieson, S.: Ethical dilemmas and reflexivity in qualitative research. *Perspectives on Medical Education*. (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40037-018-0412-2>.

109.

Willis, J.E., Slade, S., Prinsloo, P.: Ethical oversight of student data in learning analytics: a typology derived from a cross-continental, cross-institutional perspective. *Educational Technology Research and Development*. 64, 881–901 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-016-9463-4>.

110.

Sochacka, N.W., Walther, J., Pawley, A.L.: Ethical Validation: Reframing Research Ethics in Engineering Education Research To Improve Research Quality. *Journal of Engineering Education*. 107, 362–379 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1002/jee.20222>.