

ADP7115 and ADP7215

Reading List for the Final Module of QMUL Educational Development's PGCLTHE

View Online



[1]

Abdul-Mumin, K.H. 2016. The process of internationalization of the nursing and midwifery curriculum: A qualitative study. *Nurse Education Today*. 46, (Nov. 2016), 139-145. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2016.09.003>.

[2]

Aktas, F. et al. 2017. Institutionalizing Global Citizenship. *Journal of Studies in International Education*. 21, 1 (Feb. 2017), 65-80. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/1028315316669815>.

[3]

Backenroth, Ofra Arieli 2017. 'From the Intuitive to the Intentional': Designing a Constructivist Online Course. *Religious education*. 5 (2017).

[4]

Barton, K.L. et al. 2016. Translating evidence-based guidelines to improve feedback practices: the interACT case study. *BMC Medical Education*. 16, 1 (Dec. 2016). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-016-0562-z>.

[5]

Bhandari, K. 2017. Teaching evaluation practices: An early career practitioner's reflections. *Journal of Hospitality, Leisure, Sport & Tourism Education*. 20, (Jun. 2017), 27-31. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhlste.2017.01.002>.

[6]

Brabazon, T. and Schulz, S. 2018. Braving the bull: women, mentoring and leadership in higher education. *Gender and Education*. (Nov. 2018), 1–18.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/09540253.2018.1544362>.

[7]

Brad, Stappenbelt 2013. 'The effectiveness of the teaching-research nexus in facilitating student learning. *Engineering Education*. (2013).

[8]

Bridges, S. et al. 2016. Design Considerations for an Integrated, Problem-Based Curriculum. *Medical Science Educator*. 26, 3 (Sep. 2016), 365–373.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s40670-016-0255-6>.

[9]

Brooman, S 2015. The Student Voice in Higher Education Curriculum Design: Is There Value in Listening? *Innovations in education and teaching international*. 6 (2015).

[10]

Brunton, James 2016. Designing and Developing a Programme-Focused Assessment Strategy: A Case Study. *Open learning*. 2 (2016).

[11]

Bunbury, S. 2018. Disability in higher education – do reasonable adjustments contribute to an inclusive curriculum? *International Journal of Inclusive Education*. (Aug. 2018), 1–16.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/13603116.2018.1503347>.

[12]

Burrell, Andrew R 2015. Team-Based Curriculum Design as an Agent of Change. *Teaching in higher education*. 8 (2015).

[13]

Carless, D. et al. 2011. Developing sustainable feedback practices. *Studies in Higher Education*. 36, 4 (Jun. 2011), 395–407. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/03075071003642449>.

[14]

Carolin Plewa, Victoria Galán-Muros and Todd Davey 2015. Engaging business in curriculum design and delivery: a higher education institution perspective. *Higher Education*. 70, 1 (2015).

[15]

Clifford, Valerie 2017. Designing an Internationalised Curriculum for Higher Education: Embracing the Local and the Global Citizen. *Higher education research and development*. 6 (2017).

[16]

Cockett, A. and Jackson, C. 2018. The use of assessment rubrics to enhance feedback in higher education: An integrative literature review. *Nurse Education Today*. 69, (Oct. 2018), 8–13. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2018.06.022>.

[17]

Constable, H. 2018. Universities, supporting schools and practitioner research. *Research in Education*. 101, 1 (Aug. 2018), 39–62. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0034523718763637>.

[18]

Crawford, I. and Wang, Z. 2015. The impact of individual factors on the academic attainment of Chinese and UK students in higher education. *Studies in Higher Education*. 40, 5 (May 2015), 902–920. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2013.851182>.

[19]

Dahlström, Lars 2016. An Autobiographical Narrative towards Critical Practitioner Inquiry and a Counter Hegemonic Southern Network. *Journal for critical education policy studies*. 1 (Mar. 2016).

[20]

David Carless 2015. Exploring learning-oriented assessment processes. *Higher Education*. 69, 6 (2015).

[21]

Denton, P. and Rowe, P. 2015. Using statement banks to return online feedback: limitations of the transmission approach in a credit-bearing assessment. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*. 40, 8 (Nov. 2015), 1095–1103. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2014.970124>.

[22]

Dewsbury, B.M. 2017. On faculty development of STEM inclusive teaching practices. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*. 364, 18 (Oct. 2017). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/femsle/fnx179>.

[23]

Elena Barberà 2014. Designing Online Interaction to Address Disciplinary Competencies: A Cross-Country Comparison of Faculty Perspectives. *International Review of Research in Open and Distance Learning*. 15, 2 (2014).

[24]

Eley, D.S. and Wilkinson, D. 2015. Building a teaching-research nexus in a research intensive university: Rejuvenating the recruitment and training of the clinician scientist. *Medical Teacher*. 37, 2 (Feb. 2015), 174–180. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2014.947937>.

[25]

Emanuela Reale 2014. Challenges in higher education research: the use of quantitative tools in comparative analyses. *Higher Education*. 67, 4 (2014).

[26]

Fan, S.-C. and Yu, K.-C. 2017. How an integrative STEM curriculum can benefit students in engineering design practices. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*. 27, 1 (Mar. 2017), 107–129. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10798-015-9328-x>.

[27]

Ferry Boschman, Susan McKenney and Joke Voogt 2014. Understanding decision making in teachers' curriculum design approaches. *Educational Technology Research and Development*. 62, 4 (2014).

[28]

Frankham, Jo 2017. Employability and Higher Education: The Follies of the 'Productivity Challenge' in the Teaching Excellence Framework. *Journal of education policy*. 5 (2017).

[29]

Friedman, Jonathan Z 2018. The Global Citizenship Agenda and the Generation of Cosmopolitan Capital in British Higher Education. *British journal of sociology of education*. 4 (2018).

[30]

Fullana, J. et al. 2016. Reflective learning in higher education: a qualitative study on students' perceptions. *Studies in Higher Education*. 41, 6 (Jun. 2016), 1008-1022. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2014.950563>.

[31]

Fung, D. 2017. *Connected Curriculum for Higher Education*. UCL Press.

[32]

Gale, Trevor 2017. Socially Inclusive Teaching: Belief, Design, Action as Pedagogic Work. *Journal of teacher education*. 3 (2017).

[33]

Gikandi, J W 2016. Designing and Implementing Peer Formative Feedback within Online Learning Environments. *Technology, pedagogy and education*. 2 (2016).

[34]

Harlow, Ann 2017. Threshold Concept Theory as an Enabling Constraint: A Facilitated Practitioner Action Research Study. *Educational action research*. 3 (2017).

[35]

Hebdon, Sharon 2015. Embedding Support for Students Transitioning into Higher Education: Evaluation of a New Model. *The international journal of training research*. 2 (2015).

[36]

Heron, M. 2018. Pedagogic practices to support international students in seminar discussions. *Higher Education Research & Development*. (Aug. 2018), 1-14. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360.2018.1512954>.

[37]

Hitch, D. et al. 2015. Inclusive pedagogy in Australian universities: A review of current policies and professional development activities. *The International Journal of the First Year in Higher Education*. 6, 1 (Mar. 2015). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.5204/intjfyhe.v6i1.254>.

[38]

Hofmeyer, A. et al. 2015. Leadership In Learning And Teaching In Higher Education: Perspectives Of Academics In Non-Formal Leadership Roles. *Contemporary Issues in Education Research (CIER)*. 8, 3 (Jul. 2015). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.19030/cier.v8i3.9348>.

[39]

Horey, D. et al. 2018. Global Citizenship and Higher Education: A Scoping Review of the Empirical Evidence. *Journal of Studies in International Education*. 22, 5 (Nov. 2018), 472-492. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/1028315318786443>.

[40]

Hughes, Annie 2016. Exploring Normative Whiteness: Ensuring Inclusive Pedagogic Practice in Undergraduate Fieldwork Teaching and Learning. *Journal of geography in higher education*. 3 (2016).

[41]

Huisman, Bart 2017. Peer Feedback on College Students' Writing: Exploring the Relation between Students' Ability Match, Feedback Quality and Essay Performance. *Higher education research and development*. 7 (2017).

[42]

James, F. and Augustin, D.S. 2018. Improving teachers' pedagogical and instructional practice through action research: potential and problems. *Educational Action Research*. 26, 2 (Mar. 2018), 333–348. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/09650792.2017.1332655>.

[43]

John Biggs 1996. Enhancing Teaching through Constructive Alignment. *Higher Education*. 32, 3 (1996).

[44]

John Biggs 1996. Enhancing Teaching through Constructive Alignment. *Higher Education*. 32, 3 (1996).

[45]

Jones, Janice K 2015. Decolonising Research and Teaching Methodologies: A 'Ninth Moment' Symphony of Artist-Educator-Researcher Voices. *International Journal of Pedagogies & Learning*. 2 (2015).

[46]

Kate O'Connor 2014. MOOCs, institutional policy and change dynamics in higher education. *Higher Education*. 68, 5 (2014).

[47]

Kathy Lockett and Aditi Hunma 2014. Making gazes explicit: facilitating epistemic access in the Humanities. *Higher Education*. 67, 2 (2014).

[48]

Kilburn, Daniel 2014. Learning as Researchers and Teachers: The Development of a Pedagogical Culture for Social Science Research Methods? *British journal of educational studies*. 2 (2014).

[49]

Kupo, V.L. 2014. Becoming a Scholar-Practitioner in Student Affairs. *New Directions for Student Services*. 2014, 147 (Sep. 2014), 89–98. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1002/ss.20103>.

[50]

Kyndt, E. et al. 2018. Does self-efficacy contribute to the development of students' motivation across the transition from secondary to higher education? *European Journal of Psychology of Education*. (May 2018). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10212-018-0389-6>.

[51]

Lam, B.H. and Tsui, K.T. 2016. Curriculum mapping as deliberation – examining the alignment of subject learning outcomes and course curricula. *Studies in Higher Education*. 41, 8 (Aug. 2016), 1371–1388. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2014.968539>.

[52]

Laurillard, D. et al. 2018. Using technology to develop teachers as designers of TEL: Evaluating the learning designer. *British Journal of Educational Technology*. 49, 6 (Nov. 2018), 1044–1058. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12697>.

[53]

Leonard, Simon N 2016. Using Developmental Evaluation as a Design Thinking Tool for Curriculum Innovation in Professional Higher Education. *Higher education research and development*. 2 (2016).

[54]

Lochmiller, Chad R 2017. Conceptualizing Practitioner-Scholarship for Educational Leadership Research and Practice. *Journal of research on leadership education*. 1 (Apr. 2017).

[55]

Lopes, A. et al. 2014. The research-teaching nexus in nurse and teacher education: contributions of an ecological approach to academic identities in professional fields. *Higher Education*. 68, 2 (Aug. 2014), 167–183. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-013-9700-2>.

[56]

Lozano, A. 2017. Breaking the Black/White Binary in Higher Education Leadership. *About Campus*. 21, 6 (Jan. 2017), 27–31. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1002/abc.21276>.

[57]

Mathers, C.E. et al. 2018. Student learning in higher education: a longitudinal analysis and faculty discussion. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*. 43, 8 (Nov. 2018), 1211–1227. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2018.1443202>.

[58]

Matheson, Ruth 2017. Creating Belonging and Transformation through the Adoption of Flexible Pedagogies in Masters Level International Business Management Students. *Teaching in higher education*. 1 (2017).

[59]

McArdle, Karen 2015. When Practice Takes Precedence: Conceptions of Inquiry and the Link to Ethical Posture. *Educational action research*. 1 (2015).

[60]

McCarthy, J. 2017. Enhancing feedback in higher education: Students' attitudes towards online and in-class formative assessment feedback models. *Active Learning in Higher Education*. 18, 2 (Jul. 2017), 127–141. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/1469787417707615>.

[61]

Meens, E.E.M. et al. 2018. The association of identity and motivation with students' academic achievement in higher education. *Learning and Individual Differences*. 64, (May 2018), 54–70. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2018.04.006>.

[62]

Michinov, N. et al. 2015. A step further in Peer Instruction: Using the Stepladder technique to improve learning. *Computers & Education*. 91, (Dec. 2015), 1–13. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2015.09.007>.

[63]

Mitten, Carolyn 2018. Sustaining a Commitment to Teaching in a Research-Intensive University: What We Learn from Award-Winning Faculty. *Studies in higher education* (Dorchester-on-Thames). 8 (2018).

[64]

Moon, J.A. 2002. *The module & programme development handbook: a practical guide to linking levels, learning outcomes & assessment*. Kogan Page.

[65]

Novakovich, J. 2016. Fostering critical thinking and reflection through blog-mediated peer feedback. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*. 32, 1 (Feb. 2016), 16–30. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.12114>.

[66]

Olivares-Donoso, R. and Gonzalez, C. 2018. Biology and medicine students' experiences of the relationship between teaching and research. *Higher Education*. 76, 5 (Nov. 2018), 849–864. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-018-0241-6>.

[67]

Owston, R. and York, D.N. 2018. The nagging question when designing blended courses:

Does the proportion of time devoted to online activities matter? *The Internet and Higher Education*. 36, (Jan. 2018), 22–32. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2017.09.001>.

[68]

Paul Ashwin 2014. Knowledge, curriculum and student understanding in higher education. *Higher Education*. 67, 2 (2014).

[69]

Pavlin, S. and Svetlik, I. 2014. Employability of higher education graduates in Europe. *International Journal of Manpower*. 35, 4 (Jul. 2014), 418–424. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1108/IJM-05-2013-0104>.

[70]

Paxton, M. and Frith, V. 2014. Implications of academic literacies research for knowledge making and curriculum design. *Higher Education*. 67, 2 (Feb. 2014), 171–182. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-013-9675-z>.

[71]

Pittaway, S.M. and Moss, T. 2014. "Initially, We Were Just Names on a Computer Screen": Designing Engagement in Online Teacher Education. *Australian Journal of Teacher Education*. 39, 7 (Jan. 2014). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.14221/ajte.2014v39n7.10>.

[72]

Polkinghorne, Martyn 2017. Considering the Marketing of Higher Education: The Role of Student Learning Gain as a Potential Indicator of Teaching Quality. *Journal of marketing for higher education*. 2 (2017).

[73]

Powell, P. and Walsh, A. 2018. Whose curriculum is it anyway? Stakeholder salience in the context of Degree Apprenticeships. *Higher Education Quarterly*. 72, 2 (Apr. 2018), 90–106. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/hequ.12149>.

[74]

Pryor, D. and Henley, A. 2018. Boundary spanning in higher education leadership: identifying boundaries and practices in a British university. *Studies in Higher Education*. 43, 12 (Dec. 2018), 2210–2225. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2017.1318364>.

[75]

Rapanta, C. and Cantoni, L. 2014. Being in the users' shoes: Anticipating experience while designing online courses. *British Journal of Educational Technology*. 45, 5 (Sep. 2014), 765–777. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12102>.

[76]

Rasi, P. and Vuojärvi, H. 2018. Toward personal and emotional connectivity in mobile higher education through asynchronous formative audio feedback. *British Journal of Educational Technology*. 49, 2 (Mar. 2018), 292–304. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12587>.

[77]

Ray Land, Julie Rattray and Peter Vivian 2014. Learning in the liminal space: a semiotic approach to threshold concepts. *Higher Education*. 67, 2 (2014).

[78]

Regan A. R. Gurung, Nancy L. Chick, Aeron Haynie Exploring Signature Pedagogies: Approaches to Teaching Disciplinary Habits ...

[79]

Reid, A.-M. et al. 2018. Ethical dilemmas and reflexivity in qualitative research. *Perspectives on Medical Education*. (Mar. 2018). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s40037-018-0412-2>.

[80]

Rogaten, J. et al. 2018. Reviewing affective, behavioural and cognitive learning gains in higher education. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*. (Oct. 2018), 1–17. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2018.1504277>.

[81]

Rojas Pernia, S. et al. 2016. GROWING STUDENT VOICE IN CURRICULUM DECISIONS AT THE UNIVERSITY. *Journal of Research in Special Educational Needs*. 16, (Aug. 2016), 563–567. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-3802.12186>.

[82]

Rump, Markus 2017. Individual Differences in the Effects of Academic Motivation on Higher Education Students' Intention to Drop Out. *European journal of higher education*. 4 (2017).

[83]

Santos, J. et al. 2019. Innovative pedagogical practices in higher education: An integrative literature review. *Nurse Education Today*. 72, (Jan. 2019), 12–17. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2018.10.003>.

[84]

Schmid, Megan E 2016. Promoting Student Academic Achievement through Faculty Development about Inclusive Teaching. *Change (New Rochelle, N.Y.)*. 5 (2016).

[85]

Slapcoff, Marcy 2014. The Inquiry Network: A model for promoting the teaching-research nexus in higher education. *Canadian journal of higher education (1975)*. 2 (Jun. 2014).

[86]

Sochacka, N.W. et al. 2018. Ethical Validation: Reframing Research Ethics in Engineering Education Research To Improve Research Quality. *Journal of Engineering Education*. 107, 3 (Jul. 2018), 362–379. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1002/jee.20222>.

[87]

Solvason, Carla 2018. Researching in School -- Creating a Meaningful School/University Alliance: A Reflection. *Educational action research*. 4 (2018).

[88]

Steen-Utheim, A. and Hopfenbeck, T.N. 2019. To do or not to do with feedback. A study of undergraduate students' engagement and use of feedback within a portfolio assessment design. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*. 44, 1 (Jan. 2019), 80–96. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2018.1476669>.

[89]

Stein, Sharon 2015. Mapping Global Citizenship. *Journal of college and character*. 4 (2015).

[90]

Stewart, Victoria 2016. Developing a Postgraduate Work-Based Curriculum Using an Intervention Mapping Approach. *Studies in continuing education*. 3 (2016).

[91]

Steyn, C. et al. 2019. Eliciting student feedback for course development: the application of a qualitative course evaluation tool among business research students. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*. 44, 1 (Jan. 2019), 11–24. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2018.1466266>.

[92]

Suleman, F. 2018. The employability skills of higher education graduates: insights into conceptual frameworks and methodological options. *Higher Education*. 76, 2 (Aug. 2018), 263–278. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-017-0207-0>.

[93]

Tam, M. 2014. Outcomes-based approach to quality assessment and curriculum improvement in higher education. *Quality Assurance in Education*. 22, 2 (Apr. 2014), 158–168. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1108/QAE-09-2011-0059>.

[94]

Tassone, V.C. et al. 2018. (Re-)designing higher education curricula in times of systemic dysfunction: a responsible research and innovation perspective. *Higher Education*. 76, 2 (Aug. 2018), 337–352. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-017-0211-4>.

[95]

Thompson, Karen D 2017. Considering Interest and Action: Analyzing Types of Questions Explored by Researcher-Practitioner Partnerships. *Educational researcher*. 8 (Nov. 2017).

[96]

Tight, Malcolm 2016. Examining the Research/Teaching Nexus. *European journal of higher education*. 4 (2016).

[97]

Tondeur, J. et al. 2017. Understanding the relationship between teachers' pedagogical beliefs and technology use in education: a systematic review of qualitative evidence. *Educational Technology Research and Development*. 65, 3 (Jun. 2017), 555–575. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-016-9481-2>.

[98]

Töytäri, Aija 2017. Higher Education Teachers' Descriptions of Their Own Learning: A Quantitative Perspective. *Higher education research and development*. 6 (2017).

[99]

Trigwell, K. and Prosser, M. 2014. Qualitative variation in constructive alignment in curriculum design. *Higher Education*. 67, 2 (Feb. 2014), 141–154. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-013-9701-1>.

[100]

Ulriksen, L. et al. 2017. Making sense of curriculum—the transition into science and engineering university programmes. *Higher Education*. 73, 3 (Mar. 2017), 423–440. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-016-0099-4>.

[101]

Villarroel, V. et al. 2018. Authentic assessment: creating a blueprint for course design. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*. 43, 5 (Jul. 2018), 840–854. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2017.1412396>.

[102]

Walker, R. and Handley, Z. 2016. Designing for learner engagement with computer-based testing. *Research in Learning Technology*. 24, 1 (Jan. 2016). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.3402/rlt.v24.30083>.

[103]

Wanner, T. and Palmer, E. 2018. Formative self-and peer assessment for improved student learning: the crucial factors of design, teacher participation and feedback. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*. 43, 7 (Oct. 2018), 1032–1047. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2018.1427698>.

[104]

Weller, S. 2016. *Academic practice: developing as a professional in higher education*. Sage.

[105]

Wells, R.S. and Stage, F.K. 2015. Past, Present, and Future of Critical Quantitative Research in Higher Education. *New Directions for Institutional Research*. 2014, 163 (Mar. 2015), 103–112. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1002/ir.20089>.

[106]

Whiley, Dona 2017. Enhancing Critical Thinking Skills in First Year Environmental Management Students: A Tale of Curriculum Design, Application and Reflection. *Journal of geography in higher education*. 2 (2017).

[107]

Willis, J.E. et al. 2016. Ethical oversight of student data in learning analytics: a typology derived from a cross-continental, cross-institutional perspective. *Educational Technology*

Research and Development. 64, 5 (Oct. 2016), 881–901.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-016-9463-4>.

[108]

Winberg, C. et al. 2018. Learning to teach STEM disciplines in higher education: a critical review of the literature. *Teaching in Higher Education*. (Sep. 2018), 1–18.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/13562517.2018.1517735>.

[109]

Yuan, Jiangmei 2015. Effective Feedback Design Using Free Technologies. *Journal of educational computing research*. 3 (Jun. 2015).

[110]

2018. Diversifying the Higher-Education Curriculum: Queering the Design and Pedagogy. *Journal of Feminist Studies in Religion*. 34, 1 (2018).
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2979/jfemistudreli.34.1.25>.